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Obsid: 8003 vs 8004
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Dec
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which was created by:

```python
chips> from chips_contrib import *
chips> fa = "8003/primary/acisf08003_000N002_fov1.fits"
chips> fb = "8004/primary/acisf08004_000N001_fov1.fits"
chips> add_fov_region(fa, ["fill.color", "orange"])
chips> add_fov_region(fb, ["fill.color", 0x33CC99])
chips> set_plot_title(r"Obsid: \{\color{orange}8003\} vs \{\color{33CC99}8004\}"")
chips> print_window("fovs.png")
```
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So, what is wrong with

% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge
% set a = 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% set b = 8004/primary/acisf08004N001_evt2.fits
% dmmerge $a,$b merged_wrong.fits
...
omit - DEC_NOM values different more than 0.000300
warning: OBS_ID has different value...Merged...
...
So, what is wrong with

% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge
% set a = 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% set b = 8004/primary/acisf08004N001_evt2.fits
% dmmerge $a,$b merged_wrong.fits
...

omit - DEC_NOM values different more than 0.000300
warning: OBS_ID has different value...Merged...
...

A: Different processing histories

(although a difference in version number of the files doesn't always mean different CALDB/software was used)
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Let's have a closer look

% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge
% set a = 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% set b = 8004/primary/acisf0804N001_evt2.fits
% dmmerge $a,$b merged_wrong.fits
...
omit - DEC_NOM values different more than 0.000300
warning: OBS_ID has different value...Merged...
...

B: Keywords are all messed up

% dmkeypar merged_wrong.fits OBS_ID echo+
Merged
% dmkeypar merged_wrong.fits DEC_NOM echo+
# dmkeypar (CIAO 4.2): ERROR: Keyword 'DEC_NOM' was not found in file 'merged_wrong.fits'.
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% ds9 merged_wrong.fits
and use a filter of “energy=500:7000,ccd_id=0,1”

C: The SKY coordinates of the events do not agree, although the RA and Dec are, in general, in okay agreement.
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So, what are the differences in the processing of the observations (SDP)?

% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge
% ./view_headers.csh 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
Results for 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
  OBS_ID  8003
  OBJECT  C-COSMOS2-5
  DETNAM  ACIS-012367
  GRATING  NONE
  READMODE  TIMED
  DATAMODE  VFAINT
  ...
  ASCDSVER  7.6.11
  DATE  2007-08-09T10:38:32
  ...

See the handout – handout.txt - for the script and full output for the two observations.
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A quick look at the aspect solution:
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Normally I would reprocess all the level 1 files to re-create the level 2 files, since it is generally easier than trying to work out whether the differences in software and calibration files impact the science analysis. For this demonstration we'll pretend we followed the threads

http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/createL2/
http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/aciscleanvf/

I will restrict analysis to ACIS-I0 of 8003 and ACIS-I1 of 8004 since they overlap, but you might want to use all four ACIS-I chips, depending on how they overlap (e.g. PSF changes with off-axis angle).

We start with a quick check the light curves to check for background flares (we really should exclude sources from this analysis), to get:
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Background (?) flare; is it going to affect your analysis?

Periods filtered out by the GTI block
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To merge the observations we need source positions, so we use wavdetect - see

http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/wavdetect/

For this we need images, so we use the Field Of View files that came with the data (*.fov*.fits).

% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge/8003/primary/
% ds9 acisf08003N002_evt2.fits \
  -region acisf08003_000N002_fov1.fits

These regions are calculated from the aspect solution for the observation, so may be too generous but are a good starting point.
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Central region of Obsid 8003 with fov

ACIS-S

ACIS-I
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How do we use these regions to filter the event files? We can use our friend the Data Model syntax (see our other friend “ahelp dmsyntax” for the gory details).

% set in = acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% set e = “energy=500:7000,ccd_id=0”
% set f = \\n    “sky=region(acisf08003_000N002_fov1.fits[ccd_id=0])”
% set b = “[bin sky:::1]”
% dmcopy “{$in}[$e,$f]{$b]” images/ccd0.8003.fits

Or

% dmcopy \\nacisf08003N002_evt2.fits"[energy=500:7000,ccd_id=0,sky=regi
on(acisf08003_000N002_fov1.fits[ccd_id=0])][bin sky=:::1]" images/ccd0.8003.fits
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And now wavdetect:

% punlearn wavdetect
% set o = wavdetect/8003/quick
% pset wavdetect infile=images/ccd0.8003.fits
% pset wavdetect outfile=$o.src
% pset wavdetch scellfile=$o.scell
% pset wavdetect imagefile=$o.img
% pset wavdetect defnbkgfile=$o.nbkg
% pset wavdetect regfile=$o.reg
% pset wavdetect scales="1 2 4 8 16"
% wavdetect
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And now wavdetect:

% punlearn wavdetect
% set o = wavdetect/8003/quick
% pset wavdetect infile=images/ccd0.8003.fits
% pset wavdetect outfile=$o.src
% pset wavdetect scellfile=$o.scell
% pset wavdetect imagefile=$o.img
% pset wavdetect defnbkgfile=$o.nbkg
% pset wavdetect regfile=$o.reg
% pset wavdetect scales="1 2 4 8 16"
% wavdetect

Interested in point sources, close to the aim point, and I did not listen to Frank/Kenny's talk, so use a minimum scale of 1.
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Reproject the aspect solution (map 8004 onto 8003):

% dmkeypar images/ccd0.8003.fits exposure echo+ 47038.292698449
% dmkeypar images/ccd1.8004.fits exposure echo+ 15721.489911643

% punlearn reproject_aspect
% pset reproject_aspect infile= \wavdetect/8004/quick.src"[net_counts=10:]"
% pset reproject_aspect refsrfcfile= \wavdetect/8003/quick.src"[net_counts=10:]"
% pset reproject_aspect updfile= \8004/primary/pcadf280982355N001_asol1.fits
% pset reproject_aspect outfile= \8004/primary/asol1.reproj.fits
% pset reproject_aspect wcsfile= images/ccd0.8003.fits
% reproject_aspect verbose=2
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Reproject the aspect solution (map 8004 onto 8003):

% dmkeypar images/ccd0.8003.fits exposure echo+ 47038.292698449
% dmkeypar images/ccd1.8004.fits exposure echo+ 15721.489911643

Restrict matches to “bright” sources.

% punlearn reproject_aspect
% pset reproject_aspect infile= \wavdetect/8004/quick.src"[net_counts=10:]
% pset reproject_aspect refsrfcfile= \wavdetect/8003/quick.src"[net_counts=10:]
% pset reproject_aspect updfile= \8004/primary/pcadf280982355N001_asol1.fits
% pset reproject_aspect outfile= \8004/primary/asol1.reproj.fits
% pset reproject_aspect wcsfile= images/ccd0.8003.fits
% reproject_aspect verbose=2
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... 
num_asolInFiles: 1 num_asolOutFiles: 1
Transform scale_factor: 0.998765
Transform rotation angle (deg): -0.016687
Transform x translation (pixels): 1.250266
Transform y translation (pixels): 1.006494

The dmdiff tool can compare the old and new aspect solutions:

% dmdiff 8004/primary/pcadf280982355N001_asol1.fits \
  8004/primary/asol1.reproj.fits"[#row=1:5]"
...

Values are not equal 1 ra
149.844489946753 149.844318905412 -0.000171041
(-0.000114 %)
The dmdiff tool can compare the old and new aspect solutions:

% dmdiff 8004/primary/pcadf280982355N001_asol1.fits \
  8004/primary/asol1.reproj.fits"[#row=1:5]"

Values are not equal 1 ra
149.844489946753 149.844318905412 -0.000171041
(-0.000114 %)

Restrict the number of rows
Reproject the events file (evt2):

```bash
% cd /data/ciao_demo/workshop/merge/8004/primary
% punlearn reproject_events
% pset reproject_events infile= acisf08004N001_evt2.fits
% pset reproject_events match= \
    ../../8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% pset reproject_events aspect= asol1.reproj.fits
% reproject_events outfile= repro2.fits
```

Input dataset/block specification (acisf08004N001_evt2.fits):
Output dataset/block specification (repro2.fits):
Match file (..../8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits):

The trick is to set **both** the match and aspect parameters and you may want to set the random parameter too.

```bash
% dmdiff acisf08004N001_evt2.fits repro2.fits"[#row=1:5]"
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values are not equal</th>
<th>RA_NOM</th>
<th>149.840</th>
<th>149.962</th>
<th>+0.122</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values are not equal</td>
<td>DEC_NOM</td>
<td>2.4827</td>
<td>2.4258</td>
<td>-0.0568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values are not equal</td>
<td>detx</td>
<td>3099.346</td>
<td>3099.528</td>
<td>+0.182 (+0.00586 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values are not equal</td>
<td>dety</td>
<td>6166.608</td>
<td>6166.457</td>
<td>-0.151 (-0.00245 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values are not equal</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5661.868</td>
<td>6557.016</td>
<td>+895.147 (+15.8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values are not equal</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>5794.142</td>
<td>6211.536</td>
<td>+417.394 (+7.2 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Merge the reprojected event file:

% set a = 8003/primary/acisf08003N002_evt2.fits
% set b = 8004/primary/repro2.fits
% dmmerge $a,$b merged2.fits
BTIMDRFT values are different...FAIL...
BTIMNULL values are different...FAIL...
BTIMRATE values are different...FAIL...
omit - DEC_PNT values different more than 0.000300
warning: DS_IDENT has different value...Merged...
warning: OBJECT has different value...Merged...
warning: OBS_ID has different value...Merged...
omit - RA_PNT values different more than 0.000300
omit - ROLL_NOM values different more than 1.000000
omit - ROLL_PNT values different more than 1.000000
warning: SEQ_NUM has different value...Merged...

Keywords are still all messed up
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Merged event file for 8003 and 8004

0.5-7.0 keV data for all the chips, even though alignment was calculated using positions from one chip.
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The merged event file can be used to create images, but we strongly advise spectral analysis is done using the individual event files. Book-keeping is vital here, since you need to use the re-projected aspect solution when calculating response files.

After re-projecting the event files, source (and background) regions can be defined using SKY coordinates, since that was the whole point of the exercise!

The observations have been matched together, but the absolute astrometry may still need tweaking:

http://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/ASPECT/celmon/