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The Galactic Center

- Total Observed Gamma-Ray Flux from 1-3 GeV within 1° of the GC is \( \sim 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)

- The flux expected from a vanilla dark matter model (100 GeV -> bb with an NFW profile) is \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)

- There’s no reason this needs to be true -- the total gamma-ray emission from the Galactic center happens to fall within an order of magnitude of the most naive prediction from dark matter simulations
Gamma-Ray Backgrounds

- Point Sources
  - Pulsars
  - Blazars/AGN
  - Star Forming Galaxies
  - Supernova Remnants
  - Unidentified

- Extragalactic (Isotropic) Background

- Galactic Diffuse Emission
  - $\pi^0$-decay
  - bremsstrahlung
  - inverse-Compton
CTBCORE
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The improvement in the PSF is especially important at low energies. Using these cuts, we include all photons down to an energy of 300 MeV
Two Types of Analyses

**Galactic Center**

- Examine box around the GC (e.g. $10^\circ \times 10^\circ$)
- Include and model all point sources
- Use likelihood analysis to calculate the spectrum and intensity of each source component
- Calculate log-likelihood to determine significance of component

**Inner Galaxy**

- Mask galactic plane (e.g. $|b| > 1^\circ$)
- Bright point sources masked at $2^\circ$
- Allow diffuse templates (galactic diffuse, isotropic, Fermi bubbles, dark matter) to float independently in each of 30 energy bins
Two Separate Analyses

**Galactic Center**

- **Advantages:**
  - Signal brighter
  - Can test profile in inner regions

- **Disadvantages:**
  - More astrophysical contamination
  - Many free parameters
  - Bin by bin energy analysis is impossible

**Inner Galaxy**

- **Advantages:**
  - Less astrophysical contamination
  - Fewer parameters in fit
  - Instrumental PSF Doesn’t Matter

- **Disadvantages:**
  - Signal is dimmer
  - Can’t test center profile
  - Fit parameters may be skewed by the entire sky
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Previous Papers Finding a GC Excess

- Hooper & Goodenough (2011, PLB 697 412) arXiv:1010.2752
- Hooper & Linden (2011, PRD 84 12) arXiv:1110.0006
- Hooper & Slatyer (2013, PDU 2 118) arXiv:1302.6589
- Macias & Gordon (2014, PRD 89 6) arXiv:1312.6671
- Abazajian et al. (2014, PRD 90 2) arXiv:1402.4090
- Daylan et al. (2014) arXiv:1402.6703
- Calore et al. (2014) arXiv:1409.0042
Consistent Results!

Note: There is strong agreement on the basic properties of the galactic center excess, among all published (and pre-published) results.

All groups agree:

- The spectrum of the excess is peaked at an energy of ~2 GeV, and falls off at low energies with a spectrum that is harder than expected for astrophysical pion emission.

- The excess extends to at least 10° away from the galactic center, following a 3D profile which falls in intensity as $r^{-2.2}$ to $r^{-2.8}$.
Physically Meaningful Constraints

The Combination of:

• 5.5 years of Fermi-LAT data
• Enhanced Photon Selection with CTBCORE
• Two separate analysis techniques

Allow us to produce analyses which are not only highly precise, but also capable of differentiating between sources of the gamma-ray excess.
Extension of the Gamma-Ray Source

- Fix the spectra of each component in the inner galaxy analysis to its best fit value
- Allow the normalization of the dark matter component to float independently in each galactocentric bin

The data show clear spatial extension out to at least 10° from the galactic center

The consistency in the radial fall-off is clear on a bin to bin basis

Daylan et al. (2014, 1402.6703)
Center of the Gamma-Ray Source
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Sphericity of the Gamma-Ray Source

• Can add in “dark matter” profiles that are not spherically symmetric

• Do these fit the excess as well as a spherically symmetric template?

• The data strongly prefer a template with an axis ratio of unity (+/- 20%)

Daylan et al. (2014, 1402.6703)
Models of the Gamma-Ray Source

Three Primary Classes of Models for the Gamma-Ray Excess:

1. Millisecond Pulsars
2. Cosmic-Ray Outbursts from the GC
3. Dark Matter Annihilation

Daylan et al. (2014, 1402.6703)
Why: Millisecond Pulsars

• To first order, the peak of the MSP energy spectrum matches the peak of the observed excess

• MSPs are thought to be overabundant in dense star-forming regions (like globular clusters, and potentially the galactic center)

Abazajian (2011, 1011.4275)
Abazajian & Kaplinghat (2012, 1207.6047)
Millisecond Pulsars

- Analyze the average spectrum and luminosity of the Fermi MSP and globular cluster populations:
  - 5.5 years of data
  - P7 Reprocessed Photons
  - 15 energy bins, no spectral model assumed

Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5583)
Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5625)
Millisecond Pulsars
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Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5625)
While the bump of the MSP spectrum is very similar to the GeV excess, the low energy behavior is quite different. This is not a death knell to MSP models by itself, but additional constraints on systematic errors could make the offset concerning Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5625)
Why Not: Millisecond Pulsars

- There would need to be 226 (+91/-67) MSPs with luminosity $>10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the circular region, and 61.9 (+60/-33.7) with luminosity $>10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

- We can also compare the MSP population to the observed LMXB population. Using the ratio for LMXBs to the MSP luminosity of globular clusters, we predict that the gamma-ray luminosity in the Galactic center would imply a population of 103 (+70/-45) LMXBs in the GC, only 6 are detected.

Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5625)
Why Not: Millisecond Pulsars

• Can also compare the expected gamma-ray emission from globular clusters given the number of INTEGRAL detected LMXBs in these systems

• X-Ray observations of Globular Clusters indicate the existence of 5 bright ($L_x > 10^{36}$ erg/s) LMXBs in globular clusters (12 total), and Fermi observations find a gamma-ray flux of $6.1 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

• The luminosity of the galactic center ($1.3 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) should then correspond to a population of 103 (+70/-44.5) very bright LMXBs in the GC region (luminosities above the INTEGRAL GC threshold)

• Instead only 6 LMXBs with $L_x > 10^{36}$ erg/s are observed, again indicating that MSPs can account for approximately 5% of the total residual flux.

Cholis et al. (2014, 1407.5625)
Why: Cosmic-Ray Outbursts

Carlson & Profumo (2014, 1405.7685)
Petrovic et al. (2014, 1405.7928)
Why Not: Cosmic-Ray Outbursts

Best Fitting Linear Combination of Hadronic Outburst Models: $TS=51$ (14 d.o.f)
Best Fitting NFW Template
$TS=315$ (5 d.o.f)

Note that the diffuse model includes contributions from gas in the galactic center, which also correlates to the expected bright emission from these sources.
Why Not: Cosmic-Ray Outbursts

Leptonic models can produce emission by up scattering the ISRF, in addition to producing bremsstrahlung emission in gas.

Difficult to explain the spectral consistency of the excess in light of the fact that electrons cool effectively in the GC region.
Why: Dark Matter

Daylan et al. (2014, 1402.6703)
Conclusions

- Multiple groups have observed the gamma-ray excess in the galactic center region using different techniques and obtaining extremely similar results.

- Current analyses (CTBCORE, 5.5 years of data, 300 MeV energy cut) have produced multiple results which can be used to test dark matter and astrophysical models.

- Recent results have made astrophysical fits to the data difficult, dark matter remains the best statistical fit to the data.

- Stay tuned!
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Some (Very Slight) Evidence for Changes in the Profile?

Astrophysical and dark matter interpretations of extended gamma-ray emission from the Galactic Center
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We include point sources from the 2FGL catalog [2] in our ROI, $7^\circ \times 7^\circ$ around the GC centered at $b = 0, \ell = 0$.

Their best fit values. The change for $\Delta \gamma = \pm 0.1$ is larger. Fitting a polynomial to the profile likelihood on the variation of $\gamma$, we find $\gamma = 1.12 \pm 0.05$ (statistical errors only).
Comparison To Other Residuals

Inner Galaxy
Fractional Intensity of the Signal

![Graph showing the fractional intensity of the signal with various templates and real data points. The x-axis represents distance from Sgr A* (degrees), and the y-axis represents the number of photons per equal angular area. Different lines and markers represent different templates and real data.]
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$\Delta \chi^2$ vs $\gamma$

Graph showing the relationship between $\Delta \chi^2$ and $\gamma$.
Non-Sphericity along plane for $\gamma=1.3$
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