### Turbulent Engines of Extreme Corecollapse Supernovae

#### Philipp Mösta

Einstein fellow @ UC Berkeley pmoesta@berkeley.edu

# Einstein fellows symposium, Oct 18, 2016



#### **Core-collapse supernovae** neutrinos turbulence

#### (Binary) black holes accretion disks EM counterparts

Magnetic fields in high-energy astro

#### **Binary neutron stars**

gravitational waves EM counterparts sGRBs

#### Extreme core-collapse

hyperenergetic superluminous lGRBs

**Core-collapse supernovae** neutrinos turbulence

#### **(Binary) black holes** accretion disks EM counterparts

Magnetic fields in high-energy astro

#### **Binary neutron stars**

gravitational waves EM counterparts sGRBs

#### Extreme core-collapse

hyperenergetic superluminous lGRBs

### New era of transient science

- Current (PTF, DeCAM, ASAS-SN) and upcoming wide-field time domain astronomy (ZTF, LSST, ...) -> wealth of data
- adv LIGO / gravitational waves detected
- Computational tools at dawn of new exascale era



Image: PTF/ZTF/COO



Image: LSST

### New era of transient science

- Current (PTF, DeCAM, ASAS-SN) and upcoming wide-field time domain astronomy (ZTF, LSST, ...) -> wealth of data
- adv LIGO / gravitational waves detected
- Computational tools at dawn of new exascale era

# Transformative years ahead for our understanding of these events







Image: LSST

## Hypernovae & GRBs



- 11 long GRB core-collapse supernova associations.
- All GRB-SNe are stripped envelope, show outflows v~0.1c
- But not all stripped-envelope supernovae come with GRBs
- Trace low metallicity and low redshift

Neutrino mechanism is inefficient; can't deliver a hypernova

### Superluminous supernovae



Some events: stripped envelope no interaction  $E_{lum} \sim 10^{45} \text{ erg}$  $E_{rad}$  up to  $10^{52}$  erg

Gal-Yam+12

### Superluminous / hyperenergetic supernovae



### **Core collapse basics**



Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5  $M_{\odot}$ ) -> protoneutron star + shockwave

### **Core collapse basics**





Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5  $M_{\odot}$ ) -> protoneutron star + shockwave

Outer core accretes onto shock & protoneutron star with O(1)  $M_{\odot}$  /s

Shock stalls at ~ 100 km

### **Core collapse basics**





Nuclear equation of state stiffens at nuclear density

Inner core (~0.5  $M_{\odot}$ ) -> protoneutron star + shockwave

Core-collapse supernova problem: How to revive the shockwave?

### Magnetorotational mechanism



Burrows+'07

[LeBlanc & Wilson '70, Bisnovatyi-Kogan '70, Obergaulinger+'06, Burrows+ '07, Takiwaki & Kotake '11, Winteler+ 12]

#### Rapid Rotation + B-field amplification (need magnetorotational instability [MRI]; difficult to resolve, but see, e.g, Obergaulinger+'09, PM+15)

**2D: Energetic bipolar explosions** Energy in rotation up to 10<sup>52</sup> erg

Results in ms-period proto-magnetar

Magneto-Hydrodynamics

Gas/plasma dynamics

Magneto-Hydrodynamics

**General Relativity** 



Gravity







All four forces!



#### All four forces!

#### Additional Complication: Core-Collapse Supernovae are 3D

- rotation
- fluid and MHD instabilities, multi-D structure, spatial scales

#### Need 21st century tools:

- cutting edge numerical algorithms
- sophisticated open-source software infrastructure
- peta/exa scale computers



#### http://einsteintoolkit.org

3D Volume Visualization of

t = -3.00 ms



### Magnetorotational Mechanism

### Big uncertainty so far: How do we get the magnetic field amplification?

Burrows+'07





#### **MRI Basics**

- Weak field instability
- Requires negative angular velocity gradient
- Can build up magnetic field exponentially fast
- Extensively researched in accretion disks: ability to modulate angular momentum transport and grow large scale field

#### What's the situation in core-collapse?

#### Stability criterion:

$$-8\Omega^2 < \omega_{\rm BV}^2 + r\frac{d\Omega^2}{dr} < 0$$

[Balbus&Hawley 91,98, Akiyama+03, Obergaulinger+09]



### Magnetorotational Mechanism



- MRI works locally Akiyama+03, Shibata+06
- shearing box simulations



#### Obergaulinger+09

But what about global field?

Burrows+'07

### First global 3D MHD turbulence simulations

- 10 billion grid points (Millenium simulation used 10 billion particles)
- 130 thousand cores on Blue Waters
- 2 weeks wall time
- 60 million compute hours
- 10000 more expensive than any previous simulations

Does the MRI efficiently build up dynamically relevant global field?







### 3D magnetic field structure

#### dx=500m

#### dx=200m

### dx=100m dx=50m

#### t = 0.00 ms

t = 0.00 ms

t = 0.00 ms

t = 0.00 ms











#### PM+ 15 Nature







#### PM+ 15 Nature

#### Growth at Large Scales



saturation within 60ms

PM+ 15 Nature

#### PM+ 15 Nature

#### **Global Field Structure**



t=0ms PM+ 15 Nature

t=10ms

t=10ms 30

#### PM+ 15 Nature

#### **Global Field Structure**



t=0ms PM+ 15 Nature

t=10ms

t=10ms <sub>31</sub>

## Summary

New (hyperenergetic/superluminous) transients challenge our engine models

Need detailed massively parallel 3D GRMHD simulations to interpret observational data

Magnetoturbulence and large-scale dynamo action create conditions for magnetar engine

High-performance computing key to solving these puzzles

Thank you!