XRCF Phase 1 Testing: Analysis Results

Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

May 10, 2004

Contents

1 Introduction
1.1 The Facility and Experimental Setup . . . . . 1 – 1
1.2 The Measurements . . . . . 1 – 3

2 Summary

3 The HXDS Flow Proportional Counters
3.1 Introduction . . . . . 3 – 1
3.1.1 Calibration Overview . . . . . 3 – 1
3.2 Apertures . . . . . 3 – 1
3.2.1 Focal Plane Apertures . . . . . 3 – 1
3.2.2 BND Apertures . . . . . 3 – 5
3.3 Spectral Response Function . . . . . 3 – 7
3.4 Gain Nonuniformity and Anode Aging . . . . . 3 – 12
3.5 Quantum Efficiency . . . . . 3 – 15
3.5.1 Monochromator Results . . . . . 3 – 16
3.5.2 Absolute Broad Band . . . . . 3 – 26
3.5.3 Relative QEs from Flat Field Calibration . . . . . 3 – 28
3.5.4 Relating Flat Field, HRMA, and BESSY measurements . . . . . 3 – 31
3.5.5 Final Results: Standardized FPC QEs . . . . . 3 – 34
3.6 Future Work . . . . . 3 – 37

4 The HXDS Solid State Detectors
4.1 Introduction . . . . . 4 – 1
4.2 Calibration Overview . . . . . 4 – 1
4.3 Spectral Response Function, SRF . . . . . 4 – 2
4.3.1 Components of the Response Function . . . . . 4 – 2
4.3.2 Interpeak Pileup . . . . . 4 – 5
4.4 Results of Fitting Response Function Spectra . . . . . 4 – 7
4.5 Detector Efficiency vs. Energy Narrow Band . . . . . 4 – 20
4.6 Q. E. Broad Band: White light Calibration . . . . . 4 – 25
4.6.1 The algorithm for calculating the synchrotron radiation spectrum . . . . 4 – 25
4.6.2 The SYNCH Model . . . . . 4 – 39
4.6.3 Synchrotron Measurement Procedures . . . . . 4 – 39
4.6.4 Results of the Fitting . . . . . 4 – 41

4.6.5 Icing . . . . . 4 – 63
4.6.6 Detector Uniformity . . . . . 4 – 63
4.7 Future Work . . . . . 4 – 63

5 Deadtime and Pileup Correction in the HXDS FPCs and SSDs
5.1 Introduction . . . . . 5 – 1
5.2 Deadtime and Pileup Time Windows . . . . . 5 – 2
5.3 Calibration Measurements . . . . . 5 – 3
5.4 Deadtime consistency testing . . . . . 5 – 3
5.4.1 Experimental configuration . . . . . 5 – 3
5.4.2 Data reduction . . . . . 5 – 4
5.4.3 Correction equations . . . . . 5 – 5
5.4.4 Results . . . . . 5 – 8
5.4.5 Simplified and More General Method for Deadtime Determination . . . 5 – 8
5.4.6 Estimated accuracy in analysis of AXAF calibration data . . . . . 5 – 9
5.5 Recommendations . . . . . 5 – 10
5.6 Appendices . . . . . 5 – 11

6 FPC Window Mesh Effect and its Correction
6.1 Introduction . . . . . 6 – 1
6.2 HRMA Image on FPC Mesh . . . . . 6 – 2
6.3 Mesh Transmission Model . . . . . 6 – 2
6.4 Mesh Scan . . . . . 6 – 2
6.5 Mesh Correction . . . . . 6 – 3
6.6 Conclusion . . . . . 6 – 4

7 High Speed Imager Calibration
7.1 Introduction . . . . . 7 – 1
7.2 Calibration Data Summary . . . . . 7 – 1
7.3 *HSI* Quantum Efficiency Measurements . . . . . 7 – 2
7.4 Count Rate Linearity . . . . . 7 – 2
7.5 Flat Fields . . . . . 7 – 10
7.6 Spatial Distortion . . . . . 7 – 20
7.7 Ion Shield Transmission . . . . . 7 – 22

8 HXDS Translation Stages and Related Calculations
8.1 Introduction . . . . . 8 – 1
8.2 Hardware Description: Focal Plane Stack . . . . . 8 – 1
8.3 Hardware Description: BND . . . . . 8 – 2
8.4 Hardware Description: Apertures for SSD and BND Detectors . . . . . 8 – 2
8.5 Stage Log File Formats . . . . . 8 – 3
8.6 Computations with the Stage Log information: fpc_x Detectors . . . . . 8 – 3
8.7 Computations with the Stage Log information: Other Detectors . . . . . 8 – 5
8.8 Known Shortcomings of this Method . . . . . 8 – 5

9 Spectral Fitting in HXDS Detector Data Analysis
9.1 Introduction . . . 9 – 1
9.2 Reduction of the Line Measurements . . . 9 – 1
9.3 A Sample Fit: Ti K–α . . . 9 – 4
9.4 A Higher Fidelity Method for Analysis of FPC Line Data . . . 9 – 5
9.5 Analysis of BESSY FPC Monochromator data . . . 9 – 8

10 Simulations
10.1 Introduction . . . 10 – 1
10.2 HRMA Model . . . 10 – 1
10.2.1 Optics' Figure . . . 10 – 1
10.2.2 Baffles and Support Structure . . . 10 – 3
10.2.3 Mirror Surface . . . 10 – 3

11 HRMA Effective Area: SSD C-continuum Measurements
11.1 Introduction . . . 11 – 1
11.2 SSD C-continuum Effective Area Measurements . . . 11 – 2
11.3 Pileup Correction . . . 11 – 2
11.4 SSD Flat Field Test . . . 11 – 22
11.5 SSD Energy Scale . . . 11 – 22
11.6 SSD Deadtime Correction . . . 11 – 27
11.7 Beam Uniformity Test . . . 11 – 34
11.8 SSD Relative Quantum Efficiency . . . 11 – 34
11.9 SSD Icing Effect . . . 11 – 39
11.10Background . . . 11 – 42
11.11Data Analysis and Reduction . . . 11 – 42
11.12Effective Area . . . 11 – 42
11.13Error Analysis . . . 11 – 49
11.14Comparing Measurements with the Raytrace Prediction . . . 11 – 49
11.15Calibrating the HRMA Effective Area . . . 11 – 54
11.16HRMA Effective Area Raytrace Predictions . . . 11 – 56
11.17XRCF HRMA Effective Area . . . 11 – 67
11.18On-orbit HRMA Effective Area Prediction . . . 11 – 77
11.19Conclusion . . . 11 – 87

12 HRMA Effective Area: Spectral Line Measurements
12.1 Introduction . . . 12 – 1
12.2 FPC Data Reduction . . . 12 – 1
12.3 Gain Nonuniformity in the open BND-H detectors . . . 12 – 2
12.4 FPC Beam Uniformity (BU) effects . . . 12 – 3
12.5 Relative Quantum Efficiencies (RQE) of the FPC detectors . . . 12 – 3
12.6 Corrected HRMA Entrance Flux: FPC detectors . . . 12 – 4
12.7 Effective Areas: FPC detectors . . . 12 – 5
12.8 Error Analysis: FPC detectors . . . 12 – 5
12.9 SSD Data Analysis . . . 12 – 10
12.10Monochromator/FPC Effective Area Measurements . . . 12 – 11

13 Fitting the HRMA Effective Area
13.1 Introduction . . . 13 – 1
13.2 Mirror Model . . . 13 – 1
13.3 Setup . . . 13 – 2
13.4 Fitting . . . 13 – 3
13.5 Resulting Fits . . . 13 – 35

14 Wing Scans: Data Reduction and Pinhole Effective Areas
14.1 Pinhole Effective Areas . . . 14 – 4
14.1.1 X-ray data reduction . . . 14 – 4
14.1.2 Raytrace simulations of the pinhole experiments . . . 14 – 5
14.2 The Experiment as Performed . . . 14 – 5
14.2.1 History of the Pitch Problem . . . 14 – 6
14.2.2 Yaw Reference Error . . . 14 – 8
14.2.3 Vignetting by Quadrant Shutters . . . 14 – 8
14.3 Pinhole Effective Areas: X-ray data *vs* Raytrace . . . 14 – 8
14.3.1 Single Quadrant Wing Scan Pinhole Data . . . 14 – 9
14.3.2 Transverse (out of plane) Wing Scan Pinhole Data . . . 14 – 55
14.3.3 Double Quadrant Wing Scan Pinhole Data . . . 14 – 58
14.4 Discussion and Outstanding Issues . . . 14 – 61
14.5 Raytrace and Data Reduction Versions . . . 14 – 61

15 Wing Scans: Analysis
15.1 PSD based on HDOS metrology . . . 15 – 1
15.2 Surface Brightness Profiles . . . 15 – 2
15.2.1 Shell 1 scans . . . 15 – 6
15.2.2 Shell 3 scans . . . 15 – 15
15.2.3 Shell 4 scans . . . 15 – 27
15.2.4 Shell 6 scans . . . 15 – 40
15.2.5 Double Quadrant Scans . . . 15 – 57
15.3 Out-of-Plane Scattering . . . 15 – 57
15.4 Comparison with Encircled Energy Data . . . 15 – 58
15.5 "$2W_1$" Profiles . . . 15 – 59
15.5.1 Shell 1 scans . . . 15 – 62
15.5.2 Shell 3 scans . . . 15 – 67
15.5.3 Shell 4 scans . . . 15 – 72
15.5.4 Shell 6 scans . . . 15 – 77
15.5.5 2 Quadrant Scans . . . 15 – 82
15.6 Outstanding Analysis Issues . . . 15 – 84
15.7 Implications for Scattering Models . . . 15 – 84

16 Encircled Energy
16.1 Comparison of Encircled Energy Measurements to Simulations . . . 16 – 2

17 Off Axis Effective Area

18 HRMA Ring Focus Measurements
18.1 Introduction . . . . . 18 – 1
18.2 Measurements and Data . . . . . 18 – 2
18.3 Data Analysis . . . . . 18 – 3
18.4 Results . . . . . 18 – 4
18.5 Ring Focus Models . . . . . 18 – 4
18.6 Comparison of Data with the Model . . . . . 18 – 7
18.7 Conclusion . . . . . 18 – 8

19 HRMA PSF

20 HRMA Ghost Image Properties
20.1 Ghost Images – Geometry . . . . . 20 – 1
20.2 Ghost Baffle Design . . . . . 20 – 3
20.2.1 Control of Single Reflection Ghosts . . . . . 20 – 5
20.3 HSI Images of Ghosts . . . . . 20 – 6
20.4 Determination of Off-axis Angle Using Ghosts . . . . . 20 – 7

21 Analysis of the "Mesh-Plane" HSI Image
21.1 Mesh-Plane HSI Image . . . . . 21 – 1
21.2 Raytrace Simulations . . . . . 21 – 2
21.3 Analysis of the Image . . . . . 21 – 3
21.3.1 Axial position of the image . . . . . 21 – 4
21.4 Updating the Tilts . . . . . 21 – 4
21.5 Mirror Distortions . . . . . 21 – 5

22 Off-Axis imaging: comparing HSI images to raytrace models
22.1 Off-axis HSI Images (Full HRMA) . . . . . 22 – 1
22.2 Off-axis HSI Images (Single Shell) . . . . . 22 – 13

23 Predictions of the On-orbit Performance

24 EIPS Beam Uniformity
24.1 Beam Uniformity Tests . . . . . 24 – 1
24.2 Beam Uniformity Analysis . . . . . 24 – 2
24.3 Future Directions . . . . . 24 – 9
24.4 Acknowledgments . . . . . 24 – 10

25 HRMA Ring Focus Shutter Test
25.1 Introduction . . . . . 25 – 1
25.2 Measurements . . . . . 25 – 1
25.3 Data Analysis . . . . . 25 – 1
25.4 Results . . . . . 25 – 2
25.5 Conclusion . . . . . 25 – 3

26 HRMA Focus Measurements and Raytrace Update
26.1 HRMA Focus Measurements at XRCF . . . . . 26 – 1
26.2 Update of SAO HRMA Raytrace Model from XRCF Focus Data . . . . . 26 – 4
26.3 Updated deformation and sum files . . . . . 26 – 10

27 Rigid-Body Misalignment Parameters
27.1 HATS Data . . . . . 27 – 1
27.1.1 November 1996 HATS ATP (Augmented) Data Set . . . . . 27 – 3
27.1.2 Conversion of HATS data to Rigid Body Coefficients . . . . . 27 – 5
27.2 Construction of the Mirror Rigid-Body Database . . . . . 27 – 5
27.3 Future Directions . . . . . 27 – 8

28 HRMA Tilts at XRCF
28.1 Coordinate Systems and tilt angles . . . . . 28 – 1
28.2 Tilt Measurements . . . . . 28 – 3
28.3 Comparison with models . . . . . 28 – 4
28.4 Future work . . . . . 28 – 4
28.5 Quad shutter tilt data from XRCF tests . . . . . 28 – 5
28.5.1 Shell 1 . . . . . 28 – 5
28.5.2 Shell 3 . . . . . 28 – 6
28.5.3 Shell 4 . . . . . 28 – 7
28.5.4 Shell 6 . . . . . 28 – 8

29 HRMA Off-Axis Focal Positions

30 Internal Tilt-Compensated Coma-Free Decenter of the AXAF mirrors
30.1 Quadrant Shutter Flux Balance . . . . . 30 – 1
30.2 Off-Axis X-ray Images . . . . . 30 – 2
30.3 Morphology of the Off-axis X-ray Images . . . . . 30 – 2
30.3.1 Off-Axis Images: Large Lobes . . . . . 30 – 6
30.3.2 Off-Axis Images: Pincushion Caustics . . . . . 30 – 7
30.4 Measuring the Tilt-Compensated Decenter . . . . . 30 – 10
30.5 Future Directions . . . . . 30 – 16

A Incidental Data Tables
A.1 Introduction . . . . . A – 1

B Coordinate Systems

C Quadrant Shutter Nomenclature

D Appendix: HRMA Pointing at XRCF
D.1 Introduction . . . . . D – 1
D.2 Sources of Information . . . . . D – 2
D.2.1 Test Order and Times . . . . . D – 2
D.2.2 Actuator Encoder Readouts . . . . . D – 2
D.3 Initial Actuator Position (IAP) . . . . . D – 3
D.4 Actuator Failures . . . . . D – 3
D.5 Data Processing . . . . . D – 5
D.6 Discrepant Tests . . . . . D – 6
D.6.1 Phase 1 . . . . . D – 6
D.6.2 Phase 2 . . . . . D – 6
D.7 The Pitch Problem and its Effect on Wing Scan Data . . . . . D – 7
D.7.1 History of the Pitch Problem . . . . . D – 7

D.7.2 Yaw Reference Error . . . . . D – 9

E HRMA Dimensional Data

E.1 HRMA Axial Datum Locations . . . . . E – 1
E.1.1 HRMA Baffle Plates . . . . . E – 4
E.1.2 P6 Ghost Baffle . . . . . E – 4
E.1.3 HRMA Structure Assembly (HSA) . . . . . E – 4
E.1.4 Forward HRMA Structure (FHS) . . . . . E – 4
E.1.5 Thermal Precollimator . . . . . E – 7
E.1.6 Aft HRMA Structure (AHS) . . . . . E – 7
E.2 HRMA Mirror Spacing . . . . . E – 10
E.3 HRMA Baffles and Obstructions . . . . . E – 16
E.3.1 Thermal Precollimator and Forward HRMA Structure Baffles . . . . . E – 18
E.3.2 Aft HRMA Structure Baffles . . . . . E – 20
E.3.3 CAP Ghost Baffles . . . . . E – 22
E.3.4 P6 Ghost Baffle . . . . . E – 22
E.3.5 Fiducial Transfer System Periscope . . . . . E – 22
E.4 Relation between HDOS and Raytrace Coordinates . . . . . E – 24
E.5 HRMA Optic Prescription . . . . . E – 26
E.6 HRMA Optic Clocking Angles . . . . . E – 26

Bibliography **Bib – 1**

List of Figures

1.1 Schematic of XRCF . . . . . 1 – 2
1.2 On-Axis Encircled Energy/Effective Area Measurements . . . . . 1 – 5
1.3 Wing Scan Measurements . . . . . 1 – 6
1.4 Mirror Ends Measurements . . . . . 1 – 7
1.5 2D Pinhole Scan Measurements . . . . . 1 – 7
1.6 PSF Outer Core Measurements . . . . . 1 – 8
1.7 HSI Image Measurements . . . . . 1 – 9

3.1 Relative size of 36-mm fpc_hn aperture . . . . . 3 – 8
3.2 Example spectra from BESSY SX700 monochromator . . . . . 3 – 9
3.3 BESSY KMC spectra–scan across Ar-K edge . . . . . 3 – 10
3.4 BESSY SX700 spectra–scan across Ar-L edge . . . . . 3 – 10
3.5 Ar-K edge work function . . . . . 3 – 11
3.6 Ar-L edge work function . . . . . 3 – 11
3.7 Counting rate linearity spectra . . . . . 3 – 13
3.8 Gain nonuniformity . . . . . 3 – 14
3.9 Model FPC QE . . . . . 3 – 16
3.10 Absolute QE for fpc_hn and fpc_x2 . . . . . 3 – 17
3.11 Relative QE for fpc_x2 from BESSY and XRCF . . . . . 3 – 19
3.12 Measured window transmissions . . . . . 3 – 20
3.13 QE vs. window transmission for fpc_x2 . . . . . 3 – 21
3.14 QE mapping of fpc_hn and fpc_x2 . . . . . 3 – 22
3.15 Measured window deflections . . . . . 3 – 23
3.16 Small-scale 2D QE mapping . . . . . 3 – 24
3.17 1D QE mapping scans of fpc_hn . . . . . 3 – 25
3.18 fpc_x2 QE at Ar-K edge . . . . . 3 – 26
3.19 White beam spectra . . . . . 3 – 27
3.20 Fitted absolute QE for fpc_hn and fpc_x2 . . . . . 3 – 39
3.21 Fitted absolute QE for fpc_ht and fpc_hb . . . . . 3 – 40
3.22 Fitted absolute QE for fpc_hs . . . . . 3 – 41
3.23 Fitted absolute QE for fpc_5 . . . . . 3 – 41

4.1 Components of the HYPERMET function. . . . . 4 – 3
4.2 Response functions of ssd_5 on both sides of the Ge L_{III} edge. . . . . 4 – 5
4.3 Response functions of ssd_x and ssd_5 at 4.1 keV. . . . . 4 – 6

4.4 The pulse-height spectrum of radiation from an Fe target excited by a ^{244}Cm α-emitter. . . . . 4 – 13
4.5 The difference between the monochromator energy setting and the fitted energy. . . . . 4 – 15
4.6 Fitted low-energy (rising) tail parameters for ssd_5 and ssd_x. The upper panel shows the tail norm (counts in the tail), plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts in the main peak. The lower panel shows the corresponding values of the slope parameter. . . . . 4 – 17
4.7 Fitted shelf norm (counts in the shelf) for ssd_5 and ssd_x, plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts in the main peak. . . . . 4 – 18
4.8 Fitted escape and fluorescent line norms for ssd_5 (upper panel) and ssd_x (lower panel), plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts. . . . 4 – 19
4.9 Reduced chi-squared χ^2 values for the fitted regions of the spectra. . . . . 4 – 20
4.10 Measured QE data from SX700 data and their corresponding fitted curves for ssd_5 and ssd_x. The upper panel emphasizes the Al-K edge fine structure, and the lower panel provides a detailed view of the QE near the O-K edge. . . . . 4 – 22
4.11 The fitted QE curves extended to 10 keV. The upper plot shows the QE curve for ssd5 approaching a value of one at higher energies. The lower plot shows the ratio of QEs as a function of energy. . . . . 4 – 26
4.12 ssd_x Synchrotron White Light Spectra . . . . . 4 – 40
4.13 Data and XSPEC fit at the white beam for ssd_5 with no Al filter . . . . . 4 – 42
4.14 Data and XSPEC fit at the white beam for ssd_x with no Al filter. . . . . 4 – 45
4.15 Data and XSPEC fit for ssd_5 at the white beam with 27.4 μm Al filter. . . . 4 – 49
4.16 Data and XSPEC fit for ssd_x at the white beam with 27.4 μm Al filter. . . . 4 – 52
4.17 Data and XSPEC fit for ssd_5 at the white beam with 133.4 μm Al filter. . . 4 – 56
4.18 Data and XSPEC fit for ssd_x at the white beam with 133.4 μm Al filter. . . 4 – 59

5.1 Example SSD deadtime calibration ^{55}Fe spectrum . . . . . 5 – 5

6.1 HRMA HSI image with −9.7 mm defocus . . . . . 6 – 5
6.2 Shell 1 . . . . . 6 – 6
6.3 Shell 3 . . . . . 6 – 6
6.4 Shell 4 . . . . . 6 – 6
6.5 Shell 6 . . . . . 6 – 6
6.6 Al–Kα (1.49 keV) . . . . . 6 – 7
6.7 Ti–Kα (4.51 keV) . . . . . 6 – 7
6.8 Fe–Kα (6.40 keV) . . . . . 6 – 7
6.9 Zn–Kα (8.64 keV) . . . . . 6 – 7
6.10 Al–Kα. Shell 1. . . . . 6 – 8
6.11 Al–Kα. Shell 3. . . . . 6 – 8
6.12 Al–Kα. Shell 4. . . . . 6 – 8
6.13 Al–Kα. Shell 6. . . . . 6 – 8
6.14 Al–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 9
6.15 Ti–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 9
6.16 Fe–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 9
6.17 Zn–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 9
6.18 Al–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 10

6.19 Ti–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 10
6.20 Fe–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 10
6.21 Zn–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 10
6.22 Al–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 11
6.23 Ti–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 11
6.24 Fe–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 11
6.25 Zn–Kα. HRMA. . . . . 6 – 11
6.26 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 96/12/21 . . . . . 6 – 12
6.27 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 96/12/24 . . . . . 6 – 13
6.28 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 97/01/15 . . . . . 6 – 14
6.29 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Center Offset. . . . . 6 – 15

7.1 Angular dependence of relative quantum efficiency. B-K and C-K. . . . . 7 – 3
7.1 (continued) O-K and Fe-L. . . . . 7 – 4
7.1 (continued) Cu-L and Mg-K. . . . . 7 – 5
7.1 (continued) Al-K and Mo-L. . . . . 7 – 6
7.1 (continued) Sn-L and Ti-K. . . . . 7 – 7
7.1 (continued) Fe-K. . . . . 7 – 8
7.2 HSI post-processing electronics fast discriminator count rate linearity . . . . . 7 – 9
7.3 HSI post-processing electronics image count rate linearity . . . . . 7 – 9
7.4 Sample flat field *FPC* scan pattern . . . . . 7 – 11
7.5 *FPC* flat field profile scans. C-K and Fe-L. . . . . 7 – 12
7.5 (continued) Al-K and Fe-K. . . . . 7 – 13
7.6 *HSI* super flat field. . . . . 7 – 14
7.7 Central *HSI* tile, showing region of degraded sensitivity . . . . . 7 – 15
7.8 Horizontal cut across region shown in Figure 7.7. . . . . 7 – 16
7.9 Low sensitivity region of *HSI* $(+Y, -Z)$ . . . . . 7 – 17
7.10 Central 3×3 tile region of *HSI* flat field . . . . . 7 – 18
7.11 $+Y, +Z$ region of *HSI* flat field, showing variable gap width . . . . . 7 – 19
7.12 *HSI* super flat Z projection. . . . . 7 – 20
7.13 *HSI* super flat Z projection (detail). . . . . 7 – 21
7.14 *HSI* super flat Y projection. . . . . 7 – 21
7.15 *HSI* super flat Y projection (detail). . . . . 7 – 22

8.1 The HXDA translation stages and detectors . . . . . 8 – 2

9.1 Sample `JMKmod` log file, obtained with the `show all` command in `XSPEC` . . . 9 – 6
9.2 Sample `JMKmod` spectral fit to Ti K–α spectrum. . . . . 9 – 7
9.3 Line shape parameters for the `fpc_x2` as functions of energy. Top: Fano factor, and Bottom: Shelf norm . . . . . 9 – 10
9.4 Line shape parameters for the `fpc_hn` as functions of energy. Top: Fano factor, and Bottom: Shelf norm . . . . . 9 – 11

11.1 C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 1 . . . . . 11 – 4
11.2 C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 3 . . . . . 11 – 5
11.3 C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 4 . . . . . 11 – 6
11.4 C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 6 . . . . . 11 – 7
11.5 SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 1 . . . . . 11 – 9
11.6 SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 3 . . . . . 11 – 10

11.7 SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 4 . . . 11 – 11
11.8 SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 6 . . . 11 – 12
11.9 SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 1 . . . 11 – 14
11.10 SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 3 . . . 11 – 15
11.11 SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 4 . . . 11 – 16
11.12 SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 6 . . . 11 – 17
11.13 SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 1 . . . 11 – 18
11.14 SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 3 . . . 11 – 19
11.15 SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 4 . . . 11 – 20
11.16 SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 6 . . . 11 – 21
11.17 C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 spectra . . . 11 – 23
11.18 SSX and SS5 energy scales for the flat field test (Phase-J) . . . 11 – 24
11.19 SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the flat field test (Phase-J) . . . 11 – 25
11.20 C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 spectra as functions of energy . . . 11 – 26
11.21 SSX and SS5 energy scales for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-E) . . . 11 – 28
11.22 SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-E) . . . 11 – 29
11.23 SSX and SS5 energy scales for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-D) . . . 11 – 30
11.24 SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-D) . . . 11 – 31
11.25 C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 pulser spectra . . . 11 – 33
11.26 C-continuum source beam uniformity test . . . 11 – 35
11.27 C-continuum FPC-5 beam flux ratio . . . 11 – 36
11.28 SS5/SSX quantum efficiency ratio . . . 11 – 38
11.29 SSD 500 icing data . . . 11 – 40
11.30 X-ray transmission of ice . . . 11 – 41
11.31 SSX and SS5 spectra of background run . . . 11 – 43
11.32 SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 1 . . . 11 – 44
11.33 SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 3 . . . 11 – 45
11.34 SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 4 . . . 11 – 46
11.35 SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 6 . . . 11 – 47
11.36 Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 1 . . . 11 – 50
11.37 Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 3 . . . 11 – 51
11.38 Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 4 . . . 11 – 52
11.39 Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 6 . . . 11 – 53
11.40 Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: HRMA . . . 11 – 55
11.41 Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 1 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 57
11.42 Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 3 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 58
11.43 Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 4 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 59
11.44 Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 6 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 60
11.45 Raytrace prediction of XRCF HRMA effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 61
11.46 Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 1 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 62
11.47 Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 3 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 63
11.48 Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 4 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 64
11.49 Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 6 effective area and encircled energy . . . 11 – 65

11.50 Raytrace prediction of on-orbit HRMA effective area and encircled energy . . 11 – 66
11.51 XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2 mm aperture . . . . . 11 – 68
11.52 XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 35 mm aperture . . . . . 11 – 69
11.53 XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2π steradian . . . . . 11 – 70
11.54 On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2 mm aperture . . . . . 11 – 78
11.55 On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 35 mm aperture . . . . 11 – 79
11.56 On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2π steradian . . . . . 11 – 80

12.1 Shell 1 & 3 Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 7
12.2 Shell 4 & 6 Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 8
12.3 Full HRMA Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 13
12.4 Shell 1 & 3 Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 14
12.5 Shell 4 & 6 Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 15
12.6 Full HRMA Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 16
12.7 Full HRMA Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes . . . . . 12 – 17

13.1 Multilayer mirror . . . . . 13 – 2
13.2 Shell 1 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole . . . . . 13 – 4
13.3 Shell 3 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole . . . . . 13 – 5
13.4 Shell 4 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole . . . . . 13 – 6
13.5 Shell 6 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole . . . . . 13 – 7
13.6 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV . . . . . 13 – 9
13.7 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV . . . . . 13 – 10
13.8 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 11
13.9 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 12
13.10 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV . . . . . 13 – 13
13.11 Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 14
13.12 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV . . . . . 13 – 15
13.13 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV . . . . . 13 – 16
13.14 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 17
13.15 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 18
13.16 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV . . . . . 13 – 19
13.17 Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 20
13.18 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV . . . . . 13 – 21
13.19 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV . . . . . 13 – 22
13.20 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV . . . . . 13 – 23
13.21 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 24
13.22 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV . . . . . 13 – 25
13.23 Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 26
13.24 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV . . . . . 13 – 28
13.25 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV . . . . . 13 – 29
13.26 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 30
13.27 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 31
13.28 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV . . . . . 13 – 32
13.29 Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area *vs.* model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV . . . . . 13 – 33

14.1 Effect of quadrant shutter vignetting: shell 3 *vs.* shell 4. . . . . 14 – 9
14.2 Effect of quadrant shutter vignetting. . . . . 14 – 10

14.3 Quadrant shutter vignetting: at the quadrant shutter plane. . . . . 14 – 11
14.4 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1T at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 12
14.5 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1N at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 13
14.6 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1B at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 14
14.7 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1S at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 15
14.8 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1T at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 16
14.9 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1N at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 17
14.10 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1B at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 18
14.11 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1S at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 19
14.12 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3T at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 20
14.13 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3N at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 21
14.14 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3B at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 22
14.15 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 23
14.16 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 24
14.17 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Cr-Kα. . . . . 14 – 25
14.18 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3T at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 26
14.19 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3N at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 27
14.20 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3B at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 28
14.21 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 29
14.22 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4T at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 30
14.23 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4N at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 31
14.24 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4B at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 32
14.25 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 33
14.26 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 34
14.27 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Cr-Kα. . . . . 14 – 35
14.28 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4T at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 36
14.29 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4N at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 37
14.30 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4B at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 38
14.31 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 39
14.32 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Cu-Kα. . . . . 14 – 40
14.33 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at C-Kα. . . . . 14 – 41
14.34 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 42
14.35 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6N at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 43
14.36 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 44
14.37 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 45
14.38 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Ti-Kα. . . . . 14 – 46
14.39 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Cr-Kα. . . . . 14 – 47
14.40 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 48
14.41 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 49
14.42 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Fe-Kα. . . . . 14 – 50
14.43 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Cu-Kα. . . . . 14 – 51
14.44 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6N at Cu-Kα. . . . . 14 – 52
14.45 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Cu-Kα. . . . . 14 – 53
14.46 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Cu-Kα. . . . . 14 – 54
14.47 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at C-Kα (*transverse scans*). . . . . 14 – 56

14.48 Pinhole effective areas. Left: 1 mm pinhole scan, Shell 3B at Al-Kα. Right: 4 mm pinhole scan, Shell 3B at Al-Kα. These 3B Y–scans were performed in order to correct the in-plane 4N4S and 6N6S scans which were made with the 3B shutter stuck open. (*transverse scans*). . . . . 14 – 57
14.49 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4NS at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 59
14.50 Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6NS at Al-Kα. . . . . 14 – 60

15.1 Shell 1T: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 7
15.2 Shell 1N: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 8
15.3 Shell 1B: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 9
15.4 Shell 1S: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 10
15.5 Shell 1T: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 11
15.6 Shell 1N: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 12
15.7 Shell 1B: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from th e optic . . . . 15 – 13
15.8 Shell 1S: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 14
15.9 Shell 3T: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 17
15.10 Shell 3N: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 18
15.11 Shell 3B: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 19
15.12 Shell 3S: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 20
15.13 Shell 3S: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 21
15.14 Shell 3S: Cr-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 22
15.15 Shell 3T: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 23
15.16 Shell 3N: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 24
15.17 Shell 3B: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 25
15.18 Shell 3S: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 26
15.19 Shell 4T: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 29
15.20 Shell 4N: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 30
15.21 Shell 4B: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 31
15.22 Shell 4S: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 32
15.23 Shell 4S: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 33
15.24 Shell 4S: Cr-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 34
15.25 Shell 4T: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 35
15.26 Shell 4N: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 36
15.27 Shell 4B: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 37
15.28 Shell 4S: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 38
15.29 Shell 4S: Cu-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 39
15.30 Shell 6B: C-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 42
15.31 Shell 6T: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 43
15.32 Shell 6N: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 44
15.33 Shell 6B: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 45
15.34 Shell 6S: Al-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 46
15.35 Shell 6S: Ti-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 47
15.36 Shell 6S: Cr-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 48
15.37 Shell 6T: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 49
15.38 Shell 6N: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 50
15.39 Shell 6B: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 51
15.40 Shell 6S: Fe-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 52
15.41 Shell 6T: Cu-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 53

15.42 Shell 6N: Cu-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . 15 – 54
15.43 Shell 6B: Cu-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 55
15.44 Shell 6S: Cu-Kα surface brightness, towards and away from the optic . . . . . 15 – 56
15.45 Double quadrant wing scan surface brightness: Al-Kα. Top: Shell 4, N and S quadrant. Bottom: Shell 6, N and S quadrant. . . . . 15 – 58
15.46 Out-of-plane scans, compared to in-plane scans. Top left: 6B Y scan at C-Kα (out of plane). Top right: 6B Z scan at C-Kα (in plane, towards optic). Bottom left: 3B Y scan at Al-Kα (out of plane). Bottom right: 3B Z scan at Al-Kα (in plane). . . . . 15 – 59
15.47 Surface Brightness *vs.* Radius for Shell 1S at Ti K-α . . . . . 15 – 60
15.48 Shell 1T: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 63
15.49 Shell 1N: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 64
15.50 Shell 1B: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 65
15.51 Shell 1S: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 66
15.52 Shell 3T: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 68
15.53 Shell 3N: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 69
15.54 Shell 3B: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 70
15.55 Shell 3S: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 71
15.56 Shell 4T: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 73
15.57 Shell 4N: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 74
15.58 Shell 4B: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 75
15.59 Shell 4S: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 76
15.60 Shell 6T: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 78
15.61 Shell 6N: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 79
15.62 Shell 6B: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 80
15.63 Shell 6S: $2W_1$ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 81
15.64 Double quadrant wing scans. Top: Shell 4 N and S quadrants: $2W_1$ profiles. Bottom: Shell 4 N and S quadrants: $2W_1$ profiles. XRCF data (*xrcf*) *vs.* raytrace data (*sim*). . . . . 15 – 83

16.1 On-axis Full-HRMA Cu L- and K-α and Cr K-α Encircled Energy . . . . . 16 – 2
16.2 On-axis Carbon and Aluminum K-α Encircled Energy . . . . . 16 – 3

16.3 On-axis Titanium and Iron K-α Encircled Energy . . . . . 16 – 4
16.4 Encircled Energy Fractions at 0.277 keV . . . . . 16 – 5
16.5 Encircled Energy Fractions at 1.486 keV . . . . . 16 – 6
16.6 Encircled Energy Fractions at 4.51 keV . . . . . 16 – 7
16.7 Encircled Energy Fractions at 6.4 keV . . . . . 16 – 8
16.8 HRMA Encircled Energy Fractions . . . . . 16 – 9

18.1 HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1996/12/23 . . . . . 18 – 9
18.2 HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/10 . . . . . 18 – 10
18.3 HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/15 . . . . . 18 – 11
18.4 HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 12
18.5 HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/02/10 . . . . . 18 – 13
18.6 HRMA ring focus HRC image. Date: 1997/04/10 . . . . . 18 – 14
18.7 Radial profiles for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 15
18.8 Radial profiles for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 16
18.9 Radial profiles for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 17
18.10 Radial profiles for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 18
18.11 Ring width RMS for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 19
18.12 Ring width RMS for ring 3. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 20
18.13 Ring width RMS for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 21
18.14 Ring width RMS for ring 6. Date: 1997/01/24 . . . . . 18 – 22
18.15 The Ring width RMS for ring 1, from all six ring focus measurements. . . . . 18 – 23
18.16 The Ring width RMS for ring 3, from all six ring focus measurements. . . . . 18 – 24
18.17 The Ring width RMS for ring 4, from all six ring focus measurements. . . . . 18 – 25
18.18 The Ring width RMS for ring 6, from all six ring focus measurements. . . . . 18 – 26
18.19 HRMA ring focus model with -0.5% epoxy strain . . . . . 18 – 27
18.20 HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, no gravity, no epoxy strain. . 18 – 28
18.21 HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, with gravity, no epoxy strain. 18 – 29
18.22 HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, with gravity and epoxy strain change. . . . . 18 – 30
18.23 The Ring width RMS for ring 1, from three ring focus measurements . . . . . 18 – 31
18.24 The Ring width RMS for ring 3, from three ring focus measurements . . . . . 18 – 32
18.25 The Ring width RMS for ring 4, from three ring focus measurements . . . . . 18 – 33
18.26 The Ring width RMS for ring 6, from three ring focus measurements . . . . . 18 – 34
18.27 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 1, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10 . . . . . 18 – 35
18.28 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 3, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10 . . . . . 18 – 36
18.29 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 4, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10 . . . . . 18 – 37
18.30 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 6, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10 . . . . . 18 – 38
18.31 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 1, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10 . . . . . 18 – 39
18.32 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 3, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10 . . . . . 18 – 40
18.33 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 4, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10 . . . . . 18 – 41

18.34 HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 6, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10 . . . 18 – 42
18.35 HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, epoxy strain with 0.0% and -0.3% moist and their difference. . . . 18 – 43

20.1 Schematic of single-reflection ghosts . . . 20 – 2
20.2 Schematic diagram of single-reflection ghosts. . . . 20 – 3
20.3 Ghost imaging . . . 20 – 4
20.4 Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics. . . . 20 – 5
20.5 Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics. . . . 20 – 6
20.6 Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics. . . . 20 – 7
20.7 Off-axis image with ghosts . . . 20 – 8
20.8 HSI images of ghosts at 25′ off-axis . . . 20 – 9
20.9 Ghosts at 30′ off-axis . . . 20 – 10
20.10 Off-axis angle determination using ghosts. . . . 20 – 11
20.11 Off-axis angle determination . . . 20 – 12

21.1 The two "mesh plane" HSI images. . . . 21 – 2
21.2 Comparing the SAO and the EKC 1G mechanical models. . . . 21 – 6
21.3 Division of hsi111803i0 image into annuli. . . . 21 – 7
21.4 Raytraces for individual HRMA shells at the "mesh plane". . . . 21 – 8
21.5 Ring radius for individual shells. . . . 21 – 9
21.6 Raytraces with varying Y-tilts compared to hsi111803 image. . . . 21 – 10
21.7 Raytraces with varying Z-tilts compared to hsi111803 image. . . . 21 – 11
21.8 Comparison of XRCF mesh plane HSI image and raytrace simulations . . . 21 – 12

22.1 Off-axis images: 5′ C-Kα, 5′ Ti-Kα. . . . 22 – 3
22.2 Off-axis images: 5′ Fe-Kα, 10′ C-Kα. . . . 22 – 4
22.3 Off-axis images: 10′ Ti-Kα, 10′ Fe-Kα. . . . 22 – 5
22.4 Off-axis images: 15′ C-Kα, 15′ Ti-Kα. . . . 22 – 6
22.5 Off-axis images: 15′ Fe-Kα, 20′ C-Kα. . . . 22 – 7
22.6 Off-axis images: 20′ Ti-Kα, 20′ Fe-Kα. . . . 22 – 8
22.7 Off-axis images: 25′ C-Kα, 25′ Ti-Kα. . . . 22 – 9
22.8 Off-axis images: 25′ Fe-Kα, 30′ C-Kα. . . . 22 – 10
22.9 Off-axis images: 30′ Ti-Kα, 30′ Fe-Kα. . . . 22 – 11
22.10 Off-axis images: 30′ Fe-Kα. . . . 22 – 12
22.11 Single shell off-axis images: 15′. . . . 22 – 14
22.12 Single shell off-axis images: 15′. . . . 22 – 15
22.13 Single shell off-axis images: 20′. . . . 22 – 16
22.14 Single shell off-axis images: 20′. . . . 22 – 17
22.15 Single shell off-axis images: 24′. . . . 22 – 18
22.16 Single shell off-axis images: 24′. . . . 22 – 19

24.1 fpc_hn Scan Pattern with a Polynomial Fit to Normalized Count Rates. . . . 24 – 2
24.2 fpc_5 Scan Pattern with Polynomial Fit to Normalized Count Rates. . . . 24 – 3
24.3 Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shell 1. . 24 – 4
24.4 Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shells 3 and 4. . . . 24 – 5
24.5 Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shell 6. . 24 – 5

25.1 D-IXH-RF-17.001, 108945, Shell 1 TB, 200 sec. . . . . 25 – 4
25.2 D-IXH-RF-17.002, 108946, Shell 1 NS, 200 sec. . . . . 25 – 4
25.3 D-IXH-RF-17.003, 108947, Shell 3 TB, 200 sec. . . . . 25 – 4
25.4 D-IXH-RF-17.004, 108948, Shell 3 NS, 300 sec. . . . . 25 – 4
25.5 D-IXH-RF-17.005, 108949, Shell 4 TB, 360 sec. . . . . 25 – 5
25.6 D-IXH-RF-17.006, 108951, Shell 4 NS, 360 sec. . . . . 25 – 5
25.7 D-IXH-RF-17.007, 108953, Shell 6 TB, 600 sec. . . . . 25 – 5
25.8 D-IXH-RF-17.008, 108954, Shell 6 NS, 600 sec. . . . . 25 – 5
25.9 108945 & 108946, Shell 1, 200 + 200 sec. . . . . 25 – 6
25.10 108947 & 108948, Shell 3, 200 + 300 sec. . . . . 25 – 6
25.11 108949 & 108951, Shell 4, 360 + 360 sec. . . . . 25 – 6
25.12 108953 & 108954, Shell 6, 600 + 600 sec. . . . . 25 – 6
25.13 The HRMA ring focus shutter test results. Shell 1 . . . . . 25 – 7
25.14 The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 3 . . . . . 25 – 8
25.15 The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 4 . . . . . 25 – 9
25.16 The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 6 . . . . . 25 – 10

26.1 HRMA Focus Data . . . . . 26 – 2
26.2 HRMA Focus History . . . . . 26 – 3

27.1 Schematic diagram of HATS double-pass configurations. . . . . 27 – 2

28.1 Schematic of coma circle (2θ) distortion . . . . . 28 – 2

29.1 Measured vs. Simulated Off-Axis Focus Data . . . . . 29 – 4

30.1 Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $-10.61'$, yaw = $10.61'$. . . . . 30 – 3
30.2 pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$. . . . . 30 – 4
30.3 pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$ . . . . . 30 – 5
30.4 Variation of off-axis image with angle between source and decenter direction. Shell 3, pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$. . . . . 30 – 8
30.5 Variation of off-axis image with angle between source and decenter direction. Shell 3, pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$. . . . . 30 – 9
30.6 Schematic diagram of pincushion measurement. . . . . 30 – 11
30.7 Off-axis images (Shell 1; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$). . . . . 30 – 12
30.8 Off-axis images (Shell 1; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$). . . . . 30 – 13
30.9 Core pincushions of off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$. . . . . 30 – 14
30.10 Core pincushions of off-axis images for individual shells based on current SAO raytrace model; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$. . . . . 30 – 15
30.11 Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $-10.61'$, yaw = $10.61'$. . . . . 30 – 17
30.12 Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$. . . . . 30 – 18
30.13 Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$. . . . . 30 – 19

B.1 Relations between HATS tower, XRCF, and `SAOsac` coordinates . . . . . B – 2
B.2 Schematic of XRCF coordinate and rotation conventions . . . . . B – 3

E.1 Schematic of relevant HRMA assemblies . . . . . E – 2
E.2 HRMA Axial Datum Locations . . . . . E – 3

E.3 Schematic of the P6 Ghost Baffle . . . . . E – 5
E.4 Schematic of the Forward HRMA Structure . . . . . E – 6
E.5 Schematic of the Thermal Precollimator . . . . . E – 8
E.6 Schematic of the Aft HRMA Structure . . . . . E – 9
E.7 Schematic of HRMA mirror positions. . . . . E – 11
E.8 EKC Solid Model of Fiducial Transfer System Periscope. . . . . E – 23
E.9 Clocking angle for the FTS Periscope . . . . . E – 23
E.10 EKC, SAOsac, and DPSAOsac optic clocking conventions. . . . . E – 27

List of Tables

3.1 Summary of the FPC calibration program. . . . . 3 – 2
3.2 Focal plane aperture sizes . . . . . 3 – 4
3.3 Blocking plate aperture sizes for reference detectors . . . . . 3 – 6
3.4 Differences among test environments. . . . . 3 – 18
3.5 Relative QE for FPC detectors to fpc_hn . . . . . 3 – 29
3.6 Relative QE for FPCs to fpc_5 . . . . . 3 – 30
3.7 Relative QE for FPCs to fpc_x1 . . . . . 3 – 30
3.8 Relative QE for fpc_5 to fpc_hn . . . . . 3 – 30
3.9 QE Corrections for gas opacity effects . . . . . 3 – 32
3.10 Absolute QE for fpc_x2 and fpc_hn. . . . . 3 – 36
3.10 Absolute QE for fpc_x2 and fpc_hn (continued) . . . . . 3 – 37
3.11 Absolute QE for fpc_ht, fpc_hb, and fpc_hs . . . . . 3 – 38
3.12 Absolute QE for fpc_5 . . . . . 3 – 38

4.1 Fitted energy, rising (low-energy) tail and shelf parameters for ssd_x. . . . . 4 – 8
4.2 Fitted escape and fluorescent line parameters for ssd_x. . . . . 4 – 9
4.3 Fitted energy, rising (low-energy) tail and shelf parameters for ssd_5. . . . . 4 – 10
4.4 Fitted escape and fluorescent line parameters for ssd_5. . . . . 4 – 11
4.5 Detector resolution parameters: Fano factor and electronic broadening. . . . . 4 – 12
4.6 Energy scale parameters for each phase of SSD use. . . . . 4 – 14
4.7 Measured and fitted QE values for ssd_5 from SX700 data. . . . . 4 – 23
4.8 Measured and fitted QE values for ssd_x from SX700 data. . . . . 4 – 24
4.9 Thickness of fitted window material for ssd_5 and ssd_x. . . . . 4 – 25
4.10 Thicknesses of SSD Al filter material, measured at NSLS. . . . . 4 – 41
4.11 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam run, all energies, no Al filter. . . . . 4 – 43
4.12 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam run, only high energy, no Al filter. . 4 – 44
4.13 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, all energies, no Al filter. . . . . 4 – 47
4.14 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, only high energies, no Al filter. . . . 4 – 48
4.15 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam, all energies with 27.4 μm Al filter. . 4 – 50
4.16 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam, Higher energies with 27.4 μm Al filter. . . . . 4 – 51
4.17 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, all energies with 27.4 μm Al filter. . 4 – 53

4.18 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, higher energies with 27.4 μm Al filter. . . . 4 – 54
4.19 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam, all energies with 133.4 μm Al filter. 4 – 57
4.20 Fitting parameters for ssd_5 white beam, higher energies with 133.4 μm Al filter. . . . 4 – 58
4.21 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, all energies with 133.4 μm Al filter. 4 – 60
4.22 Fitting parameters for ssd_x white beam, higher energies with 133.4.4 μm Al filter. . . . 4 – 61
4.23 Summary of white beam normalization runs. See text for explanation. . . . . 4 – 62
4.24 BESSY ssd_x uniformity scan . . . . . 4 – 63

6.1 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scans . . . . . 6 – 3
6.2 HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Center Positions . . . . . 6 – 3
6.3 XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections 6 – 16
6.4 XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections 6 – 17
6.5 XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections 6 – 18
6.6 XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections 6 – 19
6.7 XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections 6 – 20

7.1 Image event rate dead-time percentages . . . . . 7 – 10

8.1 Sample stagelog entry . . . . . 8 – 3
8.2 Sample section from the FOA table . . . . . 8 – 4
8.3 Master table (left), and aperture size table (right) for the HXDA FPC detectors . . . . . 8 – 6
8.4 Master table (left) and aperture size table (center) for ssd_x, and aperture size table for ssd_5 (right) . . . . . 8 – 7
8.5 Master table for hsi . . . . . 8 – 7

9.1 Parameters for the JMKmod model, with recommended values. . . . . 9 – 3

11.1 HRMA On-axis Effective Area Measurenents . . . . . 11 – 3
11.2 HRMA Off-axis Effective Area Measurenents . . . . . 11 – 8
11.3 X-ray Lines atop the C-continuum . . . . . 11 – 27
11.4 SSD Energy Scale . . . . . 11 – 32
11.5 SSD-500 Icing Data . . . . . 11 – 39
11.6 Effective Area Measurements SSD Pulser Deadtime Corrections . . . . . 11 – 48
11.7 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 71
11.7 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 (continued) 11 – 72
11.8 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 73
11.8 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 (continued) 11 – 74
11.9 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 75
11.9 XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 (continued) . 11 – 76
11.10 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 81
11.10 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 (continued) 11 – 82
11.11 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 83
11.11 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 (continued) . . . . . 11 – 84
11.12 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 . . . . . 11 – 85

11.12 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 (continued) 11 – 86

12.1 Encircled Energy tests analyzed for the HRMA effective area . . . . . 12 – 2
12.2 Ancillary tests used in the effective area analysis. . . . . 12 – 3
12.3 Effective Area results for 35 mm apertures . . . . . 12 – 6
12.4 Effective Area results for 2 mm apertures . . . . . 12 – 9

13.1 Shells 1, 3, 4, and 6 reduced χ^2 and σ . . . . . 13 – 8
13.2 Shell 1 reduced χ^2 and σ . . . . . 13 – 34
13.3 Shell 3 reduced χ^2 and σ . . . . . 13 – 34
13.4 Shell 4 reduced χ^2 and σ . . . . . 13 – 34
13.5 Shell 6 reduced χ^2 and σ . . . . . 13 – 34

14.1 XRCF Single Quadrant Wing Scan Measurements (by shell) . . . . . 14 – 2
14.2 XRCF Double Quadrant Wing Scan Measurements . . . . . 14 – 3
14.3 Single Quadrant Pitch/Yaw values . . . . . 14 – 3
14.4 Effect of Mesh on Wing Scans . . . . . 14 – 4

15.1 Wing scan shutter vignetting cutoffs . . . . . 15 – 4
15.2 Surface brightness fits (raytrace and XRCF data; Shell 1). . . . . 15 – 6
15.3 Surface brightness fits (Raytrace, Shell 3). . . . . 15 – 15
15.4 Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 3). . . . . 15 – 16
15.5 Surface brightness fits (raytrace, Shell 4). . . . . 15 – 27
15.6 Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 4). . . . . 15 – 28
15.7 Surface brightness fits (raytrace, Shell 6). . . . . 15 – 40
15.8 Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 6). . . . . 15 – 41
15.9 Surface brightness fits (double quadrant scans, 4N4S. . . . . 15 – 57
15.10 Surface brightness fits (double quadrant scans, 6N6S. . . . . 15 – 57
15.11 Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 1) . . . . . 15 – 62
15.12 Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 3) . . . . . 15 – 67
15.13 Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 4) . . . . . 15 – 72
15.14 Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 6) . . . . . 15 – 77
15.15 Double quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 4NS) . . . . . 15 – 82
15.16 Double quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 6NS) . . . . . 15 – 82

18.1 HRMA Ring Focus Measurement data . . . . . 18 – 2

20.1 Phase 1 Off-Axis Images with P6 or H6 ghosts . . . . . 20 – 7

21.1 Mesh Plane HSI images . . . . . 21 – 2
21.2 Focus distance from CAP Datum –A– plane for EIPS Al-Kα source . . . . . 21 – 3
21.3 Vertical/Horizontal ratios for meshplane HSI image . . . . . 21 – 3

21.4 Parameters for the quasi-elliptical annuli used to split apart the hsi111803i0 image . . . . . 21 – 4
21.5 Ring radius parameters. . . . . 21 – 4

22.1 Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Full HRMA) . . . . . 22 – 2

24.1 Fit Results for all EIPS BU Tests. . . . . 24 – 6

25.1 HRMA Ring Focus Shutter Test Data . . . . . 25 – 2

26.1 Best Estimate of XRCF Measured Focus of Shells, relative to Shell 3 . . . . . 26 – 2
26.2 Comparison of pre-XRCF Predictions of On-orbit and XRCF HRMA foci . . 26 – 4
26.3 As Measured Optic lengths and distances . . . . . 26 – 5
26.4 Final Mirror Focal Positions at XRCF and on orbit . . . . . 26 – 5
26.5 Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: D-66 Series . . . . . 26 – 6
26.6 Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: D-67 Series . . . . . 26 – 8
26.7 Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: E-67 (Final) Series . . . . . 26 – 9

27.1 HATS Fourier Coefficients (ATP augmented data set) . . . . . 27 – 2
27.2 HATS ATP (Augmented) Data . . . . . 27 – 3
27.3 HATS Fourier Coefficients . . . . . 27 – 4
27.4 HATS Fourier Coefficients: r^2-weighted Q_0 removed . . . . . 27 – 4
27.5 Conversion to Lateral Parfocalization and Coma . . . . . 27 – 5
27.6 Summary: HRMA Lateral Parfocalization and On-Axis Coma (SAOsac coordinates) . . . . . 27 – 5
27.7 Conversion to Rigid Body Misalignment . . . . . 27 – 6
27.8 Body-center rigid body coefficients (*double-pass* coordinate system) . . . . . 27 – 6
27.9 Body-center rigid body coefficients (standard `SAOsac` coordinate system) . . . 27 – 7
27.10 `SAOsac` mirror parameters, baseline optic prescription . . . . . 27 – 7
27.11 `SAOsac` mirror parameters, decenters and tilts from ATP data; as-measured axial mirror positions . . . . . 27 – 8
27.12 Rigid-Body Mirror Parameters (EKCHDOS06) . . . . . 27 – 8
27.13 Fourier Coefficients: HATS *vs.* raytrace . . . . . 27 – 9

28.1 HRMA Tilt Angles . . . . . 28 – 3
28.2 Summary of HRMA Tilt Angles . . . . . 28 – 4

29.1 Offset from on-axis focus (mm), + towards HRMA . . . . . 29 – 1
29.2 HXDS FOA Values During Off-Axis Tests . . . . . 29 – 1
29.3 HSI PrimeX Values for Best On-Axis Focus . . . . . 29 – 2
29.4 Off-Axis Focus Test Data . . . . . 29 – 3

30.1 Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Full HRMA) . . . . . 30 – 6
30.2 Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Individual Shell) . . . . . 30 – 7
30.3 Measured Tilt-Compensated Decenters . . . . . 30 – 16

A.1 HXDS detector locations in XRCF testing phases . . . . . A – 1
A.2 BND aperture areas . . . . . A – 2
A.3 Source distances to HXDS and HRMA equipment . . . . . A – 2

B.1 Relations between coordinate systems . . . . . B – 3
B.2 Relations between coordinate systems . . . . . B – 4

C.1 Quadrant Shutter Nomenclature . . . . . C – 1

D.1 IAP table . . . . . D – 4

E.1 Baffle plate information . . . . . E – 4
E.2 FHS baffle plate axial positions . . . . . E – 7
E.3 FHP as-built axial position *vs.* design. . . . . E – 7
E.4 Precollimator baffle plate axial positions . . . . . E – 10
E.5 Precollimator as-built baffle plate axial positions *vs.* design. . . . . E – 12
E.6 AHS baffle plate axial information . . . . . E – 12
E.7 AHS baffle plate axial positions . . . . . E – 12
E.8 Aft HRMA Structure as-built baffle plate axial positions *vs.* design. . . . . E – 13
E.9 HRMA Mirrors: axial locations with respect to the CAP. . . . . E – 14
E.10 AXAF element interface data, Reid (1997) . . . . . E – 15
E.11 HRMA P Mirrors: key axial locations . . . . . E – 15
E.12 HRMA H Mirrors: key axial locations . . . . . E – 16
E.13 Baffle and Obstruction tables . . . . . E – 16
E.14 Raytrace and EKC baffle nomenclature . . . . . E – 17
E.15 Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 1. . . . . E – 18
E.16 Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 3. . . . . E – 18
E.17 Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 4. . . . . E – 19
E.18 Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 6. . . . . E – 19
E.19 Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 1. . . . . E – 20
E.20 Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 3. . . . . E – 20
E.21 Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 4. . . . . E – 21
E.22 Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 6. . . . . E – 21
E.23 CAP Ghost Baffle Data. . . . . E – 22
E.24 P6 Ghost Baffle Data. . . . . E – 22
E.25 FTS Periscope Data. . . . . E – 24
E.26 AXAF element axial data. . . . . E – 25
E.27 HRMA Optic Prescription (including end cut) for ideal optics. . . . . E – 26
E.28 Mirror clocking data. . . . . E – 27