

XRCF Phase 1 Testing:
Analysis Results

Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

May 10, 2004

Contents

1 Introduction	
1.1 The Facility and Experimental Setup	1 – 1
1.2 The Measurements	1 – 3
2 Summary	
3 The HXDS Flow Proportional Counters	
3.1 Introduction	3 – 1
3.1.1 Calibration Overview	3 – 1
3.2 Apertures	3 – 1
3.2.1 Focal Plane Apertures	3 – 1
3.2.2 BND Apertures	3 – 5
3.3 Spectral Response Function	3 – 7
3.4 Gain Nonuniformity and Anode Aging	3 – 12
3.5 Quantum Efficiency	3 – 15
3.5.1 Monochromator Results	3 – 16
3.5.2 Absolute Broad Band	3 – 26
3.5.3 Relative QEs from Flat Field Calibration	3 – 28
3.5.4 Relating Flat Field, HRMA, and BESSY measurements	3 – 31
3.5.5 Final Results: Standardized FPC QEs	3 – 34
3.6 Future Work	3 – 37
4 The HXDS Solid State Detectors	
4.1 Introduction	4 – 1
4.2 Calibration Overview	4 – 1
4.3 Spectral Response Function, SRF	4 – 2
4.3.1 Components of the Response Function	4 – 2
4.3.2 Interpeak Pileup	4 – 5
4.4 Results of Fitting Response Function Spectra	4 – 7
4.5 Detector Efficiency vs. Energy Narrow Band	4 – 20
4.6 Q. E. Broad Band: White light Calibration	4 – 25
4.6.1 The algorithm for calculating the synchrotron radiation spectrum	4 – 25
4.6.2 The SYNCH Model	4 – 39
4.6.3 Synchrotron Measurement Procedures	4 – 39
4.6.4 Results of the Fitting	4 – 41

CONTENTS

4.6.5 Icing	4 – 63
4.6.6 Detector Uniformity	4 – 63
4.7 Future Work	4 – 63
5 Deadtime and Pileup Correction in the HXDS FPCs and SSDs	
5.1 Introduction	5 – 1
5.2 Deadtime and Pileup Time Windows	5 – 2
5.3 Calibration Measurements	5 – 3
5.4 Deadtime consistency testing	5 – 3
5.4.1 Experimental configuration	5 – 3
5.4.2 Data reduction	5 – 4
5.4.3 Correction equations	5 – 5
5.4.4 Results	5 – 8
5.4.5 Simplified and More General Method for Deadtime Determination	5 – 8
5.4.6 Estimated accuracy in analysis of AXAF calibration data	5 – 9
5.5 Recommendations	5 – 10
5.6 Appendices	5 – 11
6 FPC Window Mesh Effect and its Correction	
6.1 Introduction	6 – 1
6.2 HRMA Image on FPC Mesh	6 – 2
6.3 Mesh Transmission Model	6 – 2
6.4 Mesh Scan	6 – 2
6.5 Mesh Correction	6 – 3
6.6 Conclusion	6 – 4
7 High Speed Imager Calibration	
7.1 Introduction	7 – 1
7.2 Calibration Data Summary	7 – 1
7.3 <i>HSI</i> Quantum Efficiency Measurements	7 – 2
7.4 Count Rate Linearity	7 – 2
7.5 Flat Fields	7 – 10
7.6 Spatial Distortion	7 – 20
7.7 Ion Shield Transmission	7 – 22
8 HXDS Translation Stages and Related Calculations	
8.1 Introduction	8 – 1
8.2 Hardware Description: Focal Plane Stack	8 – 1
8.3 Hardware Description: BND	8 – 2
8.4 Hardware Description: Apertures for SSD and BND Detectors	8 – 2
8.5 Stage Log File Formats	8 – 3
8.6 Computations with the Stage Log information: <i>fpc.x</i> Detectors	8 – 3
8.7 Computations with the Stage Log information: Other Detectors	8 – 5
8.8 Known Shortcomings of this Method	8 – 5

9 Spectral Fitting in HXDS Detector Data Analysis	
9.1 Introduction	9 – 1
9.2 Reduction of the Line Measurements	9 – 1
9.3 A Sample Fit: Ti K- α	9 – 4
9.4 A Higher Fidelity Method for Analysis of FPC Line Data	9 – 5
9.5 Analysis of BESSY FPC Monochromator data	9 – 8
10 Simulations	
10.1 Introduction	10 – 1
10.2 HRMA Model	10 – 1
10.2.1 Optics' Figure	10 – 1
10.2.2 Baffles and Support Structure	10 – 3
10.2.3 Mirror Surface	10 – 3
11 HRMA Effective Area: SSD C-continuum Measurements	
11.1 Introduction	11 – 1
11.2 SSD C-continuum Effective Area Measurements	11 – 2
11.3 Pileup Correction	11 – 2
11.4 SSD Flat Field Test	11 – 22
11.5 SSD Energy Scale	11 – 22
11.6 SSD Deadtime Correction	11 – 27
11.7 Beam Uniformity Test	11 – 34
11.8 SSD Relative Quantum Efficiency	11 – 34
11.9 SSD Icing Effect	11 – 39
11.10 Background	11 – 42
11.11 Data Analysis and Reduction	11 – 42
11.12 Effective Area	11 – 42
11.13 Error Analysis	11 – 49
11.14 Comparing Measurements with the Raytrace Prediction	11 – 49
11.15 Calibrating the HRMA Effective Area	11 – 54
11.16 HRMA Effective Area Raytrace Predictions	11 – 56
11.17 XRCF HRMA Effective Area	11 – 67
11.18 On-orbit HRMA Effective Area Prediction	11 – 77
11.19 Conclusion	11 – 87
12 HRMA Effective Area: Spectral Line Measurements	
12.1 Introduction	12 – 1
12.2 FPC Data Reduction	12 – 1
12.3 Gain Nonuniformity in the open BND-H detectors	12 – 2
12.4 FPC Beam Uniformity (BU) effects	12 – 3
12.5 Relative Quantum Efficiencies (RQE) of the FPC detectors	12 – 3
12.6 Corrected HRMA Entrance Flux: FPC detectors	12 – 4
12.7 Effective Areas: FPC detectors	12 – 5
12.8 Error Analysis: FPC detectors	12 – 5
12.9 SSD Data Analysis	12 – 10
12.10 Monochromator/FPC Effective Area Measurements	12 – 11

13 Fitting the HRMA Effective Area	
13.1 Introduction	13 – 1
13.2 Mirror Model	13 – 1
13.3 Setup	13 – 2
13.4 Fitting	13 – 3
13.5 Resulting Fits	13 – 35
14 Wing Scans: Data Reduction and Pinhole Effective Areas	
14.1 Pinhole Effective Areas	14 – 4
14.1.1 X-ray data reduction	14 – 4
14.1.2 Raytrace simulations of the pinhole experiments	14 – 5
14.2 The Experiment as Performed	14 – 5
14.2.1 History of the Pitch Problem	14 – 6
14.2.2 Yaw Reference Error	14 – 8
14.2.3 Vignetting by Quadrant Shutters	14 – 8
14.3 Pinhole Effective Areas: X-ray data <i>vs</i> Raytrace	14 – 8
14.3.1 Single Quadrant Wing Scan Pinhole Data	14 – 9
14.3.2 Transverse (out of plane) Wing Scan Pinhole Data	14 – 55
14.3.3 Double Quadrant Wing Scan Pinhole Data	14 – 58
14.4 Discussion and Outstanding Issues	14 – 61
14.5 Raytrace and Data Reduction Versions	14 – 61
15 Wing Scans: Analysis	
15.1 PSD based on HDOS metrology	15 – 1
15.2 Surface Brightness Profiles	15 – 2
15.2.1 Shell 1 scans	15 – 6
15.2.2 Shell 3 scans	15 – 15
15.2.3 Shell 4 scans	15 – 27
15.2.4 Shell 6 scans	15 – 40
15.2.5 Double Quadrant Scans	15 – 57
15.3 Out-of-Plane Scattering	15 – 57
15.4 Comparison with Encircled Energy Data	15 – 58
15.5 “ $2W_1$ ” Profiles	15 – 59
15.5.1 Shell 1 scans	15 – 62
15.5.2 Shell 3 scans	15 – 67
15.5.3 Shell 4 scans	15 – 72
15.5.4 Shell 6 scans	15 – 77
15.5.5 2 Quadrant Scans	15 – 82
15.6 Outstanding Analysis Issues	15 – 84
15.7 Implications for Scattering Models	15 – 84
16 Encircled Energy	
16.1 Comparison of Encircled Energy Measurements to Simulations	16 – 2
17 Off Axis Effective Area	

18 HRMA Ring Focus Measurements	
18.1 Introduction	18 – 1
18.2 Measurements and Data	18 – 2
18.3 Data Analysis	18 – 3
18.4 Results	18 – 4
18.5 Ring Focus Models	18 – 4
18.6 Comparison of Data with the Model	18 – 7
18.7 Conclusion	18 – 8
19 HRMA PSF	
20 HRMA Ghost Image Properties	
20.1 Ghost Images – Geometry	20 – 1
20.2 Ghost Baffle Design	20 – 3
20.2.1 Control of Single Reflection Ghosts	20 – 5
20.3 HSI Images of Ghosts	20 – 6
20.4 Determination of Off-axis Angle Using Ghosts	20 – 7
21 Analysis of the “Mesh-Plane” HSI Image	
21.1 Mesh-Plane HSI Image	21 – 1
21.2 Raytrace Simulations	21 – 2
21.3 Analysis of the Image	21 – 3
21.3.1 Axial position of the image	21 – 4
21.4 Updating the Tilts	21 – 4
21.5 Mirror Distortions	21 – 5
22 Off-Axis imaging: comparing HSI images to raytrace models	
22.1 Off-axis HSI Images (Full HRMA)	22 – 1
22.2 Off-axis HSI Images (Single Shell)	22 – 13
23 Predictions of the On-orbit Performance	
24 EIPS Beam Uniformity	
24.1 Beam Uniformity Tests	24 – 1
24.2 Beam Uniformity Analysis	24 – 2
24.3 Future Directions	24 – 9
24.4 Acknowledgments	24 – 10
25 HRMA Ring Focus Shutter Test	
25.1 Introduction	25 – 1
25.2 Measurements	25 – 1
25.3 Data Analysis	25 – 1
25.4 Results	25 – 2
25.5 Conclusion	25 – 3
26 HRMA Focus Measurements and Raytrace Update	
26.1 HRMA Focus Measurements at XRCF	26 – 1
26.2 Update of SAO HRMA Raytrace Model from XRCF Focus Data	26 – 4
26.3 Updated deformation and sum files	26 – 10

27 Rigid-Body Misalignment Parameters	
27.1 HATS Data	27 – 1
27.1.1 November 1996 HATS ATP (Augmented) Data Set	27 – 3
27.1.2 Conversion of HATS data to Rigid Body Coefficients	27 – 5
27.2 Construction of the Mirror Rigid-Body Database	27 – 5
27.3 Future Directions	27 – 8
28 HRMA Tilts at XRCF	
28.1 Coordinate Systems and tilt angles	28 – 1
28.2 Tilt Measurements	28 – 3
28.3 Comparison with models	28 – 4
28.4 Future work	28 – 4
28.5 Quad shutter tilt data from XRCF tests	28 – 5
28.5.1 Shell 1	28 – 5
28.5.2 Shell 3	28 – 6
28.5.3 Shell 4	28 – 7
28.5.4 Shell 6	28 – 8
29 HRMA Off-Axis Focal Positions	
30 Internal Tilt-Compensated Coma-Free Decenter of the AXAF mirrors	
30.1 Quadrant Shutter Flux Balance	30 – 1
30.2 Off-Axis X-ray Images	30 – 2
30.3 Morphology of the Off-axis X-ray Images	30 – 2
30.3.1 Off-Axis Images: Large Lobes	30 – 6
30.3.2 Off-Axis Images: Pincushion Caustics	30 – 7
30.4 Measuring the Tilt-Compensated Decenter	30 – 10
30.5 Future Directions	30 – 16
A Incidental Data Tables	
A.1 Introduction	A – 1
B Coordinate Systems	
C Quadrant Shutter Nomenclature	
D Appendix: HRMA Pointing at XRCF	
D.1 Introduction	D – 1
D.2 Sources of Information	D – 2
D.2.1 Test Order and Times	D – 2
D.2.2 Actuator Encoder Readouts	D – 2
D.3 Initial Actuator Position (IAP)	D – 3
D.4 Actuator Failures	D – 3
D.5 Data Processing	D – 5
D.6 Discrepant Tests	D – 6
D.6.1 Phase 1	D – 6
D.6.2 Phase 2	D – 6
D.7 The Pitch Problem and its Effect on Wing Scan Data	D – 7
D.7.1 History of the Pitch Problem	D – 7

D.7.2	Yaw Reference Error	D – 9
E	HRMA Dimensional Data	
E.1	HRMA Axial Datum Locations	E – 1
E.1.1	HRMA Baffle Plates	E – 4
E.1.2	P6 Ghost Baffle	E – 4
E.1.3	HRMA Structure Assembly (HSA)	E – 4
E.1.4	Forward HRMA Structure (FHS)	E – 4
E.1.5	Thermal Precollimator	E – 7
E.1.6	Aft HRMA Structure (AHS)	E – 7
E.2	HRMA Mirror Spacing	E – 10
E.3	HRMA Baffles and Obstructions	E – 16
E.3.1	Thermal Precollimator and Forward HRMA Structure Baffles	E – 18
E.3.2	Aft HRMA Structure Baffles	E – 20
E.3.3	CAP Ghost Baffles	E – 22
E.3.4	P6 Ghost Baffle	E – 22
E.3.5	Fiducial Transfer System Periscope	E – 22
E.4	Relation between HDOS and Raytrace Coordinates	E – 24
E.5	HRMA Optic Prescription	E – 26
E.6	HRMA Optic Clocking Angles	E – 26
	Bibliography	Bib – 1

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic of XRCF	1 – 2
1.2	On-Axis Encircled Energy/Effective Area Measurements	1 – 5
1.3	Wing Scan Measurements	1 – 6
1.4	Mirror Ends Measurements	1 – 7
1.5	2D Pinhole Scan Measurements	1 – 7
1.6	PSF Outer Core Measurements	1 – 8
1.7	HSI Image Measurements	1 – 9
3.1	Relative size of 36-mm <code>fpc_hn</code> aperture	3 – 8
3.2	Example spectra from BESSY SX700 monochromator	3 – 9
3.3	BESSY KMC spectra—scan across Ar-K edge	3 – 10
3.4	BESSY SX700 spectra—scan across Ar-L edge	3 – 10
3.5	Ar-K edge work function	3 – 11
3.6	Ar-L edge work function	3 – 11
3.7	Counting rate linearity spectra	3 – 13
3.8	Gain nonuniformity	3 – 14
3.9	Model FPC QE	3 – 16
3.10	Absolute QE for <code>fpc_hn</code> and <code>fpc_x2</code>	3 – 17
3.11	Relative QE for <code>fpc_x2</code> from BESSY and XRCF	3 – 19
3.12	Measured window transmissions	3 – 20
3.13	QE vs. window transmission for <code>fpc_x2</code>	3 – 21
3.14	QE mapping of <code>fpc_hn</code> and <code>fpc_x2</code>	3 – 22
3.15	Measured window deflections	3 – 23
3.16	Small-scale 2D QE mapping	3 – 24
3.17	1D QE mapping scans of <code>fpc_hn</code>	3 – 25
3.18	<code>fpc_x2</code> QE at Ar-K edge	3 – 26
3.19	White beam spectra	3 – 27
3.20	Fitted absolute QE for <code>fpc_hn</code> and <code>fpc_x2</code>	3 – 39
3.21	Fitted absolute QE for <code>fpc_ht</code> and <code>fpc_hb</code>	3 – 40
3.22	Fitted absolute QE for <code>fpc_hs</code>	3 – 41
3.23	Fitted absolute QE for <code>fpc_5</code>	3 – 41
4.1	Components of the HYPERMET function.	4 – 3
4.2	Response functions of <code>ssd_5</code> on both sides of the Ge L_{III} edge.	4 – 5
4.3	Response functions of <code>ssd_x</code> and <code>ssd_5</code> at 4.1 keV.	4 – 6

LIST OF FIGURES

4.4	The pulse-height spectrum of radiation from an Fe target excited by a ^{244}Cm α -emitter.	4 – 13
4.5	The difference between the monochromator energy setting and the fitted energy.	4 – 15
4.6	Fitted low-energy (rising) tail parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> and <code>ssd_x</code> . The upper panel shows the tail norm (counts in the tail), plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts in the main peak. The lower panel shows the corresponding values of the slope parameter.	4 – 17
4.7	Fitted shelf norm (counts in the shelf) for <code>ssd_5</code> and <code>ssd_x</code> , plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts in the main peak.	4 – 18
4.8	Fitted escape and fluorescent line norms for <code>ssd_5</code> (upper panel) and <code>ssd_x</code> (lower panel), plotted as a fraction of the total number of counts.	4 – 19
4.9	Reduced chi-squared χ^2 values for the fitted regions of the spectra.	4 – 20
4.10	Measured QE data from SX700 data and their corresponding fitted curves for <code>ssd_5</code> and <code>ssd_x</code> . The upper panel emphasizes the Al-K edge fine structure, and the lower panel provides a detailed view of the QE near the O-K edge.	4 – 22
4.11	The fitted QE curves extended to 10 keV. The upper plot shows the QE curve for <code>ssd5</code> approaching a value of one at higher energies. The lower plot shows the ratio of QEs as a function of energy.	4 – 26
4.12	<code>ssd_x</code> Synchrotron White Light Spectra	4 – 40
4.13	Data and XSPEC fit at the white beam for <code>ssd_5</code> with no Al filter	4 – 42
4.14	Data and XSPEC fit at the white beam for <code>ssd_x</code> with no Al filter.	4 – 45
4.15	Data and XSPEC fit for <code>ssd_5</code> at the white beam with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 49
4.16	Data and XSPEC fit for <code>ssd_x</code> at the white beam with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 52
4.17	Data and XSPEC fit for <code>ssd_5</code> at the white beam with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 56
4.18	Data and XSPEC fit for <code>ssd_x</code> at the white beam with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 59
5.1	Example SSD downtime calibration ^{55}Fe spectrum	5 – 5
6.1	HRMA HSI image with -9.7 mm defocus	6 – 5
6.2	Shell 1	6 – 6
6.3	Shell 3	6 – 6
6.4	Shell 4	6 – 6
6.5	Shell 6	6 – 6
6.6	Al-K α (1.49 keV)	6 – 7
6.7	Ti-K α (4.51 keV)	6 – 7
6.8	Fe-K α (6.40 keV)	6 – 7
6.9	Zn-K α (8.64 keV)	6 – 7
6.10	Al-K α . Shell 1.	6 – 8
6.11	Al-K α . Shell 3.	6 – 8
6.12	Al-K α . Shell 4.	6 – 8
6.13	Al-K α . Shell 6.	6 – 8
6.14	Al-K α . HRMA.	6 – 9
6.15	Ti-K α . HRMA.	6 – 9
6.16	Fe-K α . HRMA.	6 – 9
6.17	Zn-K α . HRMA.	6 – 9
6.18	Al-K α . HRMA.	6 – 10

6.19	Ti-K α . HRMA.	6 – 10
6.20	Fe-K α . HRMA.	6 – 10
6.21	Zn-K α . HRMA.	6 – 10
6.22	Al-K α . HRMA.	6 – 11
6.23	Ti-K α . HRMA.	6 – 11
6.24	Fe-K α . HRMA.	6 – 11
6.25	Zn-K α . HRMA.	6 – 11
6.26	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 96/12/21	6 – 12
6.27	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 96/12/24	6 – 13
6.28	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scan data fit to the model. Date: 97/01/15	6 – 14
6.29	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Center Offset.	6 – 15
7.1	Angular dependence of relative quantum efficiency. B-K and C-K.	7 – 3
7.1	(continued) O-K and Fe-L.	7 – 4
7.1	(continued) Cu-L and Mg-K.	7 – 5
7.1	(continued) Al-K and Mo-L.	7 – 6
7.1	(continued) Sn-L and Ti-K.	7 – 7
7.1	(continued) Fe-K.	7 – 8
7.2	HSI post-processing electronics fast discriminator count rate linearity	7 – 9
7.3	HSI post-processing electronics image count rate linearity	7 – 9
7.4	Sample flat field <i>FPC</i> scan pattern	7 – 11
7.5	<i>FPC</i> flat field profile scans. C-K and Fe-L.	7 – 12
7.5	(continued) Al-K and Fe-K.	7 – 13
7.6	<i>HSI</i> super flat field.	7 – 14
7.7	Central <i>HSI</i> tile, showing region of degraded sensitivity	7 – 15
7.8	Horizontal cut across region shown in Figure 7.7.	7 – 16
7.9	Low sensitivity region of <i>HSI</i> (+Y, -Z)	7 – 17
7.10	Central 3 \times 3 tile region of <i>HSI</i> flat field	7 – 18
7.11	+Y, +Z region of <i>HSI</i> flat field, showing variable gap width	7 – 19
7.12	<i>HSI</i> super flat Z projection.	7 – 20
7.13	<i>HSI</i> super flat Z projection (detail).	7 – 21
7.14	<i>HSI</i> super flat Y projection.	7 – 21
7.15	<i>HSI</i> super flat Y projection (detail).	7 – 22
8.1	The HXDA translation stages and detectors	8 – 2
9.1	Sample <i>JMKmod</i> log file, obtained with the <code>show all</code> command in <i>XSPEC</i>	9 – 6
9.2	Sample <i>JMKmod</i> spectral fit to Ti K- α spectrum.	9 – 7
9.3	Line shape parameters for the <i>fpc_x2</i> as functions of energy. Top: Fano factor, and Bottom: Shelf norm	9 – 10
9.4	Line shape parameters for the <i>fpc_hn</i> as functions of energy. Top: Fano factor, and Bottom: Shelf norm	9 – 11
11.1	C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 1	11 – 4
11.2	C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 3	11 – 5
11.3	C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 4	11 – 6
11.4	C-continuum SSX and SS5 spectra: Shell 6	11 – 7
11.5	SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 1	11 – 9
11.6	SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 3	11 – 10

11.7	SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 4	11 – 11
11.8	SSX and SS5 pulser spectra: Shell 6	11 – 12
11.9	SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 1	11 – 14
11.10	SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 3	11 – 15
11.11	SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 4	11 – 16
11.12	SSX spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 6	11 – 17
11.13	SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 1	11 – 18
11.14	SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 3	11 – 19
11.15	SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 4	11 – 20
11.16	SS5 spectrum and pileup correction: Shell 6	11 – 21
11.17	C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 spectra	11 – 23
11.18	SSX and SS5 energy scales for the flat field test (Phase-J)	11 – 24
11.19	SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the flat field test (Phase-J)	11 – 25
11.20	C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 spectra as functions of energy	11 – 26
11.21	SSX and SS5 energy scales for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-E)	11 – 28
11.22	SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-E)	11 – 29
11.23	SSX and SS5 energy scales for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-D)	11 – 30
11.24	SSX and SS5 energy scale linear fit residuals for the C-continuum effective area measurements (Phase-D)	11 – 31
11.25	C-continuum flat field test SSX and SS5 pulser spectra	11 – 33
11.26	C-continuum source beam uniformity test	11 – 35
11.27	C-continuum FPC-5 beam flux ratio	11 – 36
11.28	SS5/SSX quantum efficiency ratio	11 – 38
11.29	SSD 500 icing data	11 – 40
11.30	X-ray transmission of ice	11 – 41
11.31	SSX and SS5 spectra of background run	11 – 43
11.32	SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 1	11 – 44
11.33	SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 3	11 – 45
11.34	SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 4	11 – 46
11.35	SSD C-continuum effective area measurement: Shell 6	11 – 47
11.36	Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 1	11 – 50
11.37	Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 3	11 – 51
11.38	Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 4	11 – 52
11.39	Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: Shell 6	11 – 53
11.40	Calibration data vs. raytrace prediction: HRMA	11 – 55
11.41	Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 1 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 57
11.42	Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 3 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 58
11.43	Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 4 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 59
11.44	Raytrace prediction of XRCF Shell 6 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 60
11.45	Raytrace prediction of XRCF HRMA effective area and encircled energy	11 – 61
11.46	Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 1 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 62
11.47	Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 3 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 63
11.48	Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 4 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 64
11.49	Raytrace prediction of on-orbit Shell 6 effective area and encircled energy	11 – 65

11.50	Raytrace prediction of on-orbit HRMA effective area and encircled energy . . .	11 – 66
11.51	XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2 mm aperture	11 – 68
11.52	XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 35 mm aperture	11 – 69
11.53	XRCF HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2π steradian	11 – 70
11.54	On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2 mm aperture	11 – 78
11.55	On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 35 mm aperture	11 – 79
11.56	On-orbit HRMA and four shells effective areas within 2π steradian	11 – 80
12.1	Shell 1 & 3 Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes	12 – 7
12.2	Shell 4 & 6 Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes	12 – 8
12.3	Full HRMA Effective Areas through 35 mm pinholes	12 – 13
12.4	Shell 1 & 3 Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes	12 – 14
12.5	Shell 4 & 6 Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes	12 – 15
12.6	Full HRMA Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes	12 – 16
12.7	Full HRMA Effective Areas through 2 mm pinholes	12 – 17
13.1	Multilayer mirror	13 – 2
13.2	Shell 1 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole	13 – 4
13.3	Shell 3 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole	13 – 5
13.4	Shell 4 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole	13 – 6
13.5	Shell 6 effective area and residuals through 2mm pinhole	13 – 7
13.6	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV	13 – 9
13.7	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV	13 – 10
13.8	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV	13 – 11
13.9	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV	13 – 12
13.10	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV	13 – 13
13.11	Shell 1 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV	13 – 14
13.12	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV	13 – 15
13.13	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV	13 – 16
13.14	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV	13 – 17
13.15	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV	13 – 18
13.16	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV	13 – 19
13.17	Shell 3 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV	13 – 20
13.18	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV	13 – 21
13.19	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV	13 – 22
13.20	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV	13 – 23
13.21	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV	13 – 24
13.22	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV	13 – 25
13.23	Shell 4 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV	13 – 26
13.24	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.01 – 2.4 keV	13 – 28
13.25	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.25 – 2.9 keV	13 – 29
13.26	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 2.8 – 4.0 keV	13 – 30
13.27	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 3.9 – 7.0 keV	13 – 31
13.28	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 5.0 – 8.5 keV	13 – 32
13.29	Shell 6 SSD continuum effective area <i>vs.</i> model, 8.0 – 12.0 keV	13 – 33
14.1	Effect of quadrant shutter vignetting: shell 3 <i>vs.</i> shell 4.	14 – 9
14.2	Effect of quadrant shutter vignetting.	14 – 10

14.3	Quadrant shutter vignetting: at the quadrant shutter plane.	14 – 11
14.4	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1T at Al-K α	14 – 12
14.5	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1N at Al-K α	14 – 13
14.6	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1B at Al-K α	14 – 14
14.7	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1S at Al-K α	14 – 15
14.8	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1T at Ti-K α	14 – 16
14.9	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1N at Ti-K α	14 – 17
14.10	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1B at Ti-K α	14 – 18
14.11	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 1S at Ti-K α	14 – 19
14.12	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3T at Al-K α	14 – 20
14.13	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3N at Al-K α	14 – 21
14.14	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3B at Al-K α	14 – 22
14.15	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Al-K α	14 – 23
14.16	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Ti-K α	14 – 24
14.17	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Cr-K α	14 – 25
14.18	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3T at Fe-K α	14 – 26
14.19	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3N at Fe-K α	14 – 27
14.20	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3B at Fe-K α	14 – 28
14.21	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 3S at Fe-K α	14 – 29
14.22	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4T at Al-K α	14 – 30
14.23	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4N at Al-K α	14 – 31
14.24	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4B at Al-K α	14 – 32
14.25	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Al-K α	14 – 33
14.26	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Ti-K α	14 – 34
14.27	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Cr-K α	14 – 35
14.28	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4T at Fe-K α	14 – 36
14.29	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4N at Fe-K α	14 – 37
14.30	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4B at Fe-K α	14 – 38
14.31	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Fe-K α	14 – 39
14.32	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4S at Cu-K α	14 – 40
14.33	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at C-K α	14 – 41
14.34	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Al-K α	14 – 42
14.35	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6N at Al-K α	14 – 43
14.36	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Al-K α	14 – 44
14.37	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Al-K α	14 – 45
14.38	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Ti-K α	14 – 46
14.39	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Cr-K α	14 – 47
14.40	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Fe-K α	14 – 48
14.41	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Fe-K α	14 – 49
14.42	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Fe-K α	14 – 50
14.43	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6T at Cu-K α	14 – 51
14.44	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6N at Cu-K α	14 – 52
14.45	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at Cu-K α	14 – 53
14.46	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6S at Cu-K α	14 – 54
14.47	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6B at C-K α (<i>transverse scans</i>).	14 – 56

14.48	Pinhole effective areas. Left: 1 mm pinhole scan, Shell 3B at Al-K α . Right: 4 mm pinhole scan, Shell 3B at Al-K α . These 3B Y-scans were performed in order to correct the in-plane 4N4S and 6N6S scans which were made with the 3B shutter stuck open. (<i>transverse scans</i>).	14 – 57
14.49	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 4NS at Al-K α	14 – 59
14.50	Pinhole effective areas; Shell 6NS at Al-K α	14 – 60
15.1	Shell 1T: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 7
15.2	Shell 1N: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 8
15.3	Shell 1B: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 9
15.4	Shell 1S: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 10
15.5	Shell 1T: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 11
15.6	Shell 1N: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 12
15.7	Shell 1B: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 13
15.8	Shell 1S: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 14
15.9	Shell 3T: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 17
15.10	Shell 3N: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 18
15.11	Shell 3B: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 19
15.12	Shell 3S: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 20
15.13	Shell 3S: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 21
15.14	Shell 3S: Cr-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 22
15.15	Shell 3T: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 23
15.16	Shell 3N: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 24
15.17	Shell 3B: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 25
15.18	Shell 3S: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 26
15.19	Shell 4T: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 29
15.20	Shell 4N: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 30
15.21	Shell 4B: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 31
15.22	Shell 4S: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 32
15.23	Shell 4S: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 33
15.24	Shell 4S: Cr-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 34
15.25	Shell 4T: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 35
15.26	Shell 4N: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 36
15.27	Shell 4B: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 37
15.28	Shell 4S: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 38
15.29	Shell 4S: Cu-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 39
15.30	Shell 6B: C-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 42
15.31	Shell 6T: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 43
15.32	Shell 6N: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 44
15.33	Shell 6B: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 45
15.34	Shell 6S: Al-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 46
15.35	Shell 6S: Ti-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 47
15.36	Shell 6S: Cr-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 48
15.37	Shell 6T: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 49
15.38	Shell 6N: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 50
15.39	Shell 6B: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 51
15.40	Shell 6S: Fe-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 52
15.41	Shell 6T: Cu-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 53

15.42	Shell 6N: Cu-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 54
15.43	Shell 6B: Cu-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 55
15.44	Shell 6S: Cu-K α surface brightness, towards and away from the optic	15 – 56
15.45	Double quadrant wing scan surface brightness: Al-K α . Top: Shell 4, N and S quadrant. Bottom: Shell 6, N and S quadrant.	15 – 58
15.46	Out-of-plane scans, compared to in-plane scans. Top left: 6B Y scan at C-K α (out of plane). Top right: 6B Z scan at C-K α (in plane, towards optic). Bottom left: 3B Y scan at Al-K α (out of plane). Bottom right: 3B Z scan at Al-K α (in plane).	15 – 59
15.47	Surface Brightness vs. Radius for Shell 1S at Ti K- α	15 – 60
15.48	Shell 1T: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 63
15.49	Shell 1N: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 64
15.50	Shell 1B: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 65
15.51	Shell 1S: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 66
15.52	Shell 3T: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 68
15.53	Shell 3N: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 69
15.54	Shell 3B: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 70
15.55	Shell 3S: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 71
15.56	Shell 4T: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 73
15.57	Shell 4N: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 74
15.58	Shell 4B: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 75
15.59	Shell 4S: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 76
15.60	Shell 6T: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 78
15.61	Shell 6N: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 79
15.62	Shell 6B: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 80
15.63	Shell 6S: 2W ₁ profiles, towards and away from the optic. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 81
15.64	Double quadrant wing scans. Top: Shell 4 N and S quadrants: 2W ₁ profiles. Bottom: Shell 4 N and S quadrants: 2W ₁ profiles. XRCF data (<i>xrcf</i>) vs. raytrace data (<i>sim</i>).	15 – 83
16.1	On-axis Full-HRMA Cu L- and K- α and Cr K- α Encircled Energy	16 – 2
16.2	On-axis Carbon and Aluminum K- α Encircled Energy	16 – 3

16.3	On-axis Titanium and Iron K- α Encircled Energy	16 – 4
16.4	Encircled Energy Fractions at 0.277 keV	16 – 5
16.5	Encircled Energy Fractions at 1.486 keV	16 – 6
16.6	Encircled Energy Fractions at 4.51 keV	16 – 7
16.7	Encircled Energy Fractions at 6.4 keV	16 – 8
16.8	HRMA Encircled Energy Fractions	16 – 9
18.1	HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1996/12/23	18 – 9
18.2	HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/10	18 – 10
18.3	HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/15	18 – 11
18.4	HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 12
18.5	HRMA ring focus HSI image. Date: 1997/02/10	18 – 13
18.6	HRMA ring focus HRC image. Date: 1997/04/10	18 – 14
18.7	Radial profiles for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 15
18.8	Radial profiles for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 16
18.9	Radial profiles for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 17
18.10	Radial profiles for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 18
18.11	Ring width RMS for ring 1. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 19
18.12	Ring width RMS for ring 3. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 20
18.13	Ring width RMS for ring 4. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 21
18.14	Ring width RMS for ring 6. Date: 1997/01/24	18 – 22
18.15	The Ring width RMS for ring 1, from all six ring focus measurements.	18 – 23
18.16	The Ring width RMS for ring 3, from all six ring focus measurements.	18 – 24
18.17	The Ring width RMS for ring 4, from all six ring focus measurements.	18 – 25
18.18	The Ring width RMS for ring 6, from all six ring focus measurements.	18 – 26
18.19	HRMA ring focus model with -0.5% epoxy strain	18 – 27
18.20	HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, no gravity, no epoxy strain.	18 – 28
18.21	HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, with gravity, no epoxy strain.	18 – 29
18.22	HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, with gravity and epoxy strain change.	18 – 30
18.23	The Ring width RMS for ring 1, from three ring focus measurements	18 – 31
18.24	The Ring width RMS for ring 3, from three ring focus measurements	18 – 32
18.25	The Ring width RMS for ring 4, from three ring focus measurements	18 – 33
18.26	The Ring width RMS for ring 6, from three ring focus measurements	18 – 34
18.27	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 1, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10	18 – 35
18.28	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 3, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10	18 – 36
18.29	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 4, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10	18 – 37
18.30	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 6, Al-K source, data of 1996/12/23 and 1997/02/10	18 – 38
18.31	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 1, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10	18 – 39
18.32	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 3, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10	18 – 40
18.33	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 4, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10	18 – 41

18.34	HRMA ring focus Data: Ring 6, Al-K source, data of 1997/02/10 and 1997/04/10	18 – 42
18.35	HRMA ring focus model: Ring 1, Al-K source, epoxy strain with 0.0% and -0.3% moist and their difference.	18 – 43
20.1	Schematic of single-reflection ghosts	20 – 2
20.2	Schematic diagram of single-reflection ghosts.	20 – 3
20.3	Ghost imaging	20 – 4
20.4	Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics.	20 – 5
20.5	Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics.	20 – 6
20.6	Ghost baffles for Wolter type I optics.	20 – 7
20.7	Off-axis image with ghosts	20 – 8
20.8	HSI images of ghosts at 25' off-axis	20 – 9
20.9	Ghosts at 30' off-axis	20 – 10
20.10	Off-axis angle determination using ghosts.	20 – 11
20.11	Off-axis angle determination	20 – 12
21.1	The two “mesh plane” HSI images.	21 – 2
21.2	Comparing the SAO and the EKC 1G mechanical models.	21 – 6
21.3	Division of hsi1118030 image into annuli.	21 – 7
21.4	Raytraces for individual HRMA shells at the “mesh plane”.	21 – 8
21.5	Ring radius for individual shells.	21 – 9
21.6	Raytraces with varying Y-tilts compared to hsi111803 image.	21 – 10
21.7	Raytraces with varying Z-tilts compared to hsi111803 image.	21 – 11
21.8	Comparison of XRCF mesh plane HSI image and raytrace simulations	21 – 12
22.1	Off-axis images: 5' C-K α , 5' Ti-K α .	22 – 3
22.2	Off-axis images: 5' Fe-K α , 10' C-K α .	22 – 4
22.3	Off-axis images: 10' Ti-K α , 10' Fe-K α .	22 – 5
22.4	Off-axis images: 15' C-K α , 15' Ti-K α .	22 – 6
22.5	Off-axis images: 15' Fe-K α , 20' C-K α .	22 – 7
22.6	Off-axis images: 20' Ti-K α , 20' Fe-K α .	22 – 8
22.7	Off-axis images: 25' C-K α , 25' Ti-K α .	22 – 9
22.8	Off-axis images: 25' Fe-K α , 30' C-K α .	22 – 10
22.9	Off-axis images: 30' Ti-K α , 30' Fe-K α .	22 – 11
22.10	Off-axis images: 30' Fe-K α .	22 – 12
22.11	Single shell off-axis images: 15'.	22 – 14
22.12	Single shell off-axis images: 15'.	22 – 15
22.13	Single shell off-axis images: 20'.	22 – 16
22.14	Single shell off-axis images: 20'.	22 – 17
22.15	Single shell off-axis images: 24'.	22 – 18
22.16	Single shell off-axis images: 24'.	22 – 19
24.1	fpc_hn Scan Pattern with a Polynomial Fit to Normalized Count Rates.	24 – 2
24.2	fpc_5 Scan Pattern with Polynomial Fit to Normalized Count Rates.	24 – 3
24.3	Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shell 1.	24 – 4
24.4	Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shells 3 and 4.	24 – 5
24.5	Variation in fit and data points as a function of azimuth for mirror Shell 6.	24 – 5

25.1	D-IXH-RF-17.001, 108945, Shell 1 TB, 200 sec.	25 – 4
25.2	D-IXH-RF-17.002, 108946, Shell 1 NS, 200 sec.	25 – 4
25.3	D-IXH-RF-17.003, 108947, Shell 3 TB, 200 sec.	25 – 4
25.4	D-IXH-RF-17.004, 108948, Shell 3 NS, 300 sec.	25 – 4
25.5	D-IXH-RF-17.005, 108949, Shell 4 TB, 360 sec.	25 – 5
25.6	D-IXH-RF-17.006, 108951, Shell 4 NS, 360 sec.	25 – 5
25.7	D-IXH-RF-17.007, 108953, Shell 6 TB, 600 sec.	25 – 5
25.8	D-IXH-RF-17.008, 108954, Shell 6 NS, 600 sec.	25 – 5
25.9	108945 & 108946, Shell 1, 200 + 200 sec.	25 – 6
25.10	108947 & 108948, Shell 3, 200 + 300 sec.	25 – 6
25.11	108949 & 108951, Shell 4, 360 + 360 sec.	25 – 6
25.12	108953 & 108954, Shell 6, 600 + 600 sec.	25 – 6
25.13	The HRMA ring focus shutter test results. Shell 1	25 – 7
25.14	The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 3	25 – 8
25.15	The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 4	25 – 9
25.16	The HRMA ring focus shutter results. Shell 6	25 – 10
26.1	HRMA Focus Data	26 – 2
26.2	HRMA Focus History	26 – 3
27.1	Schematic diagram of HATS double-pass configurations.	27 – 2
28.1	Schematic of coma circle (2θ) distortion	28 – 2
29.1	Measured vs. Simulated Off-Axis Focus Data	29 – 4
30.1	Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $-10.61'$, yaw = $10.61'$	30 – 3
30.2	pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$	30 – 4
30.3	pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$	30 – 5
30.4	Variation of off-axis image with angle between source and decenter direction. Shell 3, pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$	30 – 8
30.5	Variation of off-axis image with angle between source and decenter direction. Shell 3, pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$	30 – 9
30.6	Schematic diagram of pincushion measurement.	30 – 11
30.7	Off-axis images (Shell 1; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$).	30 – 12
30.8	Off-axis images (Shell 1; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$).	30 – 13
30.9	Core pincushions of off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$	30 – 14
30.10	Core pincushions of off-axis images for individual shells based on cur- rent SAO raytrace model; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$	30 – 15
30.11	Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $-10.61'$, yaw = $10.61'$	30 – 17
30.12	Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $0'$, yaw = $-20'$	30 – 18
30.13	Off-axis images for individual shells; pitch = $16.42'$, yaw = $17.68'$	30 – 19
B.1	Relations between HATS tower, XRCF, and SAOsac coordinates	B – 2
B.2	Schematic of XRCF coordinate and rotation conventions	B – 3
E.1	Schematic of relevant HRMA assemblies	E – 2
E.2	HRMA Axial Datum Locations	E – 3

E.3	Schematic of the P6 Ghost Baffle	E – 5
E.4	Schematic of the Forward HRMA Structure	E – 6
E.5	Schematic of the Thermal Precollimator	E – 8
E.6	Schematic of the Aft HRMA Structure	E – 9
E.7	Schematic of HRMA mirror positions.	E – 11
E.8	EKC Solid Model of Fiducial Transfer System Periscope.	E – 23
E.9	Clocking angle for the FTS Periscope	E – 23
E.10	EKC, SAOsac, and DPSAOsac optic clocking conventions.	E – 27

List of Tables

3.1	Summary of the FPC calibration program.	3 – 2
3.2	Focal plane aperture sizes	3 – 4
3.3	Blocking plate aperture sizes for reference detectors	3 – 6
3.4	Differences among test environments.	3 – 18
3.5	Relative QE for FPC detectors to <code>fpc_hn</code>	3 – 29
3.6	Relative QE for FPCs to <code>fpc_5</code>	3 – 30
3.7	Relative QE for FPCs to <code>fpc_x1</code>	3 – 30
3.8	Relative QE for <code>fpc_5</code> to <code>fpc_hn</code>	3 – 30
3.9	QE Corrections for gas opacity effects	3 – 32
3.10	Absolute QE for <code>fpc_x2</code> and <code>fpc_hn</code>	3 – 36
3.10	Absolute QE for <code>fpc_x2</code> and <code>fpc_hn</code> (continued)	3 – 37
3.11	Absolute QE for <code>fpc_ht</code> , <code>fpc_hb</code> , and <code>fpc_hs</code>	3 – 38
3.12	Absolute QE for <code>fpc_5</code>	3 – 38
4.1	Fitted energy, rising (low-energy) tail and shelf parameters for <code>ssd_x</code>	4 – 8
4.2	Fitted escape and fluorescent line parameters for <code>ssd_x</code>	4 – 9
4.3	Fitted energy, rising (low-energy) tail and shelf parameters for <code>ssd_5</code>	4 – 10
4.4	Fitted escape and fluorescent line parameters for <code>ssd_5</code>	4 – 11
4.5	Detector resolution parameters: Fano factor and electronic broadening.	4 – 12
4.6	Energy scale parameters for each phase of SSD use.	4 – 14
4.7	Measured and fitted QE values for <code>ssd_5</code> from SX700 data.	4 – 23
4.8	Measured and fitted QE values for <code>ssd_x</code> from SX700 data.	4 – 24
4.9	Thickness of fitted window material for <code>ssd_5</code> and <code>ssd_x</code>	4 – 25
4.10	Thicknesses of SSD Al filter material, measured at NSLS.	4 – 41
4.11	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam run, all energies, no Al filter.	4 – 43
4.12	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam run, only high energy, no Al filter.	4 – 44
4.13	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, all energies, no Al filter.	4 – 47
4.14	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, only high energies, no Al filter.	4 – 48
4.15	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam, all energies with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 50
4.16	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam, Higher energies with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 51
4.17	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, all energies with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 53

LIST OF TABLES

4.18	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, higher energies with 27.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 54
4.19	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam, all energies with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 57
4.20	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_5</code> white beam, higher energies with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 58
4.21	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, all energies with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 60
4.22	Fitting parameters for <code>ssd_x</code> white beam, higher energies with 133.4 μm Al filter.	4 – 61
4.23	Summary of white beam normalization runs. See text for explanation.	4 – 62
4.24	BESSY <code>ssd_x</code> uniformity scan	4 – 63
6.1	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Scans	6 – 3
6.2	HXDS FPC-X2 Mesh Center Positions	6 – 3
6.3	XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections	6 – 16
6.4	XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections	6 – 17
6.5	XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections	6 – 18
6.6	XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections	6 – 19
6.7	XRCF HRMA Effective Area Measurements FPC Window Mesh Corrections	6 – 20
7.1	Image event rate dead-time percentages	7 – 10
8.1	Sample stagelog entry	8 – 3
8.2	Sample section from the FOA table	8 – 4
8.3	Master table (left), and aperture size table (right) for the HXDA FPC detectors	8 – 6
8.4	Master table (left) and aperture size table (center) for <code>ssd_x</code> , and aperture size table for <code>ssd_5</code> (right)	8 – 7
8.5	Master table for <code>hsi</code>	8 – 7
9.1	Parameters for the <code>JMkmod</code> model, with recommended values.	9 – 3
11.1	HRMA On-axis Effective Area Measurements	11 – 3
11.2	HRMA Off-axis Effective Area Measurements	11 – 8
11.3	X-ray Lines atop the C-continuum	11 – 27
11.4	SSD Energy Scale	11 – 32
11.5	SSD-500 Icing Data	11 – 39
11.6	Effective Area Measurements SSD Pulsar Deadtime Corrections	11 – 48
11.7	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2	11 – 71
11.7	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 72
11.8	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2	11 – 73
11.8	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Aperture. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 74
11.9	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2	11 – 75
11.9	XRCF HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 76
11.10	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2	11 – 81
11.10	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 82
11.11	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2	11 – 83
11.11	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 35 mm Diameter. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 84
11.12	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2	11 – 85

11.12	On-orbit HRMA Effective Area within 2π Steradian. Units: cm^2 (continued)	11 – 86
12.1	Encircled Energy tests analyzed for the HRMA effective area	12 – 2
12.2	Ancillary tests used in the effective area analysis.	12 – 3
12.3	Effective Area results for 35 mm apertures	12 – 6
12.4	Effective Area results for 2 mm apertures	12 – 9
13.1	Shells 1, 3, 4, and 6 reduced χ^2 and σ	13 – 8
13.2	Shell 1 reduced χ^2 and σ	13 – 34
13.3	Shell 3 reduced χ^2 and σ	13 – 34
13.4	Shell 4 reduced χ^2 and σ	13 – 34
13.5	Shell 6 reduced χ^2 and σ	13 – 34
14.1	XRCF Single Quadrant Wing Scan Measurements (by shell)	14 – 2
14.2	XRCF Double Quadrant Wing Scan Measurements	14 – 3
14.3	Single Quadrant Pitch/Yaw values	14 – 3
14.4	Effect of Mesh on Wing Scans	14 – 4
15.1	Wing scan shutter vignetting cutoffs	15 – 4
15.2	Surface brightness fits (raytrace and XRCF data; Shell 1).	15 – 6
15.3	Surface brightness fits (Raytrace, Shell 3).	15 – 15
15.4	Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 3).	15 – 16
15.5	Surface brightness fits (raytrace, Shell 4).	15 – 27
15.6	Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 4).	15 – 28
15.7	Surface brightness fits (raytrace, Shell 6).	15 – 40
15.8	Surface brightness fits (XRCF data, Shell 6).	15 – 41
15.9	Surface brightness fits (double quadrant scans, 4N4S.	15 – 57
15.10	Surface brightness fits (double quadrant scans, 6N6S.	15 – 57
15.11	Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 1)	15 – 62
15.12	Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 3)	15 – 67
15.13	Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 4)	15 – 72
15.14	Single quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 6)	15 – 77
15.15	Double quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 4NS)	15 – 82
15.16	Double quadrant wingscan $2W_1$ fits and mean square roughness (Raytrace simulation and XRCF data, Shell 6NS)	15 – 82
18.1	HRMA Ring Focus Measurement data	18 – 2
20.1	Phase 1 Off-Axis Images with P6 or H6 ghosts	20 – 7
21.1	Mesh Plane HSI images	21 – 2
21.2	Focus distance from CAP Datum –A– plane for EIPS Al-K α source	21 – 3
21.3	Vertical/Horizontal ratios for meshplane HSI image	21 – 3

21.4	Parameters for the quasi-elliptical annuli used to split apart the hsi111803i0 image	21 – 4
21.5	Ring radius parameters.	21 – 4
22.1	Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Full HRMA)	22 – 2
24.1	Fit Results for all EIPS BU Tests.	24 – 6
25.1	HRMA Ring Focus Shutter Test Data	25 – 2
26.1	Best Estimate of XRCF Measured Focus of Shells, relative to Shell 3	26 – 2
26.2	Comparison of pre-XRCF Predictions of On-orbit and XRCF HRMA foci	26 – 4
26.3	As Measured Optic lengths and distances	26 – 5
26.4	Final Mirror Focal Positions at XRCF and on orbit	26 – 5
26.5	Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: D-66 Series	26 – 6
26.6	Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: D-67 Series	26 – 8
26.7	Test Data for HRMA Focus Tests: E-67 (Final) Series	26 – 9
27.1	HATS Fourier Coefficients (ATP augmented data set)	27 – 2
27.2	HATS ATP (Augmented) Data	27 – 3
27.3	HATS Fourier Coefficients	27 – 4
27.4	HATS Fourier Coefficients: r^2 -weighted Q_0 removed	27 – 4
27.5	Conversion to Lateral Parfocalization and Coma	27 – 5
27.6	Summary: HRMA Lateral Parfocalization and On-Axis Coma (SAOsac coordinates)	27 – 5
27.7	Conversion to Rigid Body Misalignment	27 – 6
27.8	Body-center rigid body coefficients (<i>double-pass</i> coordinate system)	27 – 6
27.9	Body-center rigid body coefficients (standard SAOsac coordinate system)	27 – 7
27.10	SAOsac mirror parameters, baseline optic prescription	27 – 7
27.11	SAOsac mirror parameters, decenters and tilts from ATP data; as-measured axial mirror positions	27 – 8
27.12	Rigid-Body Mirror Parameters (EKCHDOS06)	27 – 8
27.13	Fourier Coefficients: HATS <i>vs.</i> raytrace	27 – 9
28.1	HRMA Tilt Angles	28 – 3
28.2	Summary of HRMA Tilt Angles	28 – 4
29.1	Offset from on-axis focus (mm), + towards HRMA	29 – 1
29.2	HXDS FOA Values During Off-Axis Tests	29 – 1
29.3	HSI PrimeX Values for Best On-Axis Focus	29 – 2
29.4	Off-Axis Focus Test Data	29 – 3
30.1	Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Full HRMA)	30 – 6
30.2	Phase 1 Off-Axis Images (Individual Shell)	30 – 7
30.3	Measured Tilt-Compensated Decenters	30 – 16
A.1	HXDS detector locations in XRCF testing phases	A – 1
A.2	BND aperture areas	A – 2
A.3	Source distances to HXDS and HRMA equipment	A – 2

B.1	Relations between coordinate systems	B – 3
B.2	Relations between coordinate systems	B – 4
C.1	Quadrant Shutter Nomenclature	C – 1
D.1	IAP table	D – 4
E.1	Baffle plate information	E – 4
E.2	FHS baffle plate axial positions	E – 7
E.3	FHP as-built axial position <i>vs.</i> design.	E – 7
E.4	Precollimator baffle plate axial positions	E – 10
E.5	Precollimator as-built baffle plate axial positions <i>vs.</i> design.	E – 12
E.6	AHS baffle plate axial information	E – 12
E.7	AHS baffle plate axial positions	E – 12
E.8	Aft HRMA Structure as-built baffle plate axial positions <i>vs.</i> design.	E – 13
E.9	HRMA Mirrors: axial locations with respect to the CAP.	E – 14
E.10	AXAF element interface data, Reid (1997)	E – 15
E.11	HRMA P Mirrors: key axial locations	E – 15
E.12	HRMA H Mirrors: key axial locations	E – 16
E.13	Baffle and Obstruction tables	E – 16
E.14	Raytrace and EKC baffle nomenclature	E – 17
E.15	Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 1.	E – 18
E.16	Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 3.	E – 18
E.17	Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 4.	E – 19
E.18	Precollimator and Forward Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 6.	E – 19
E.19	Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 1.	E – 20
E.20	Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 3.	E – 20
E.21	Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 4.	E – 21
E.22	Aft Hrma Structure Baffle Data; Shell 6.	E – 21
E.23	CAP Ghost Baffle Data.	E – 22
E.24	P6 Ghost Baffle Data.	E – 22
E.25	FTS Periscope Data.	E – 24
E.26	AXAF element axial data.	E – 25
E.27	HRMA Optic Prescription (including end cut) for ideal optics.	E – 26
E.28	Mirror clocking data.	E – 27