X-ray Binaries in the Gaia Era

calls and in the end state iss

Jerome A. Orosz San Diego State University





Circa 2003, Jeff became extremely interested in





Chronological List of Team Members

CfA	Year	Elsewhere	Year
Jeffrey McClintock	2004.3	Jerome Orosz (SDSU)	2004.3
Ramesh Narayan	2004.3	Li-Xin Li (MPI)	2004.3
Rebecca Shafee	2005.1	Ronald Remillard (MIT)	2005.2
Mark Reid	2006.7	Shane Davis (IAS)	2005.3
James Steiner	2007.2	Danny Steeghs (UK)	2005.9
Manuel Torres	2007.2	Charles Bailyn (Yale)	2006.2
Jifeng Liu	2007.2	Ken Ebiswa (ISAS)	2008.1
Lijun Gou	2007.9	+ several others	

Leaders Full-time Occasional

This effort to measure spin requires a 50-50 mix of theory & observation.

measuring the spins of stellar mass black holes. He assembled a large team, and I was thrilled to be insited

Circa 2003, Jeff became extremely interested in

• To measure the black hole spin, you need the distance to the source...





Black hole and neutron star masses.
 Black hole spins.
 X-ray binary populations and constraints on formation

scenarios.

How can good distances and proper motions be of use

in the study of the Galactic X-ray binary population



Mass X-ray Binaries (LMXBs): Have a low mass companion (typically a solar mass or less). Mass transfer is via Roche lobe overflow. In persistant sources, L_x >> L_{opt} always. In transient sources, L_x < L_{opt}, except for occasional flares.

• Have a massive O- or B-type companion, where L_{opt} ≈ L_x.

High Mass X-ray Binaries (HMXBs):

Mass transfer is via a stellar wind.









rentar orbit, period P and separation c $2\pi a$ M.

 $V_2 = rac{2\pi a}{P} \left[rac{M_1}{M_1 + M_2} \right]$ where M_2 is the donor star mass,

• Kepler's Third Law

 $P^2 = rac{4\pi^2 a^3}{G(M_1+M_2)}$

• Define $K = V_2 \sin i$, combine the above to get:

 $f(M_1)\equiv rac{PK_2^3}{2\pi G} = rac{M_1^3\sin^3 i}{(M_1+M_2)^2} \geq M_1$

• The **optical mass function** is a lower limit on the mass of the compact object.



where M_2 is the donor star mass

• Kopley's Third Law: $P^2 = 4r$

Circular orbit, period

- $G(M_1 + M_2)$
- Define $K = V_2$ in i, combine the above to get:
 - $f(M_1)\equiv rac{PK_2^3}{2\pi G} M_1^3 \sin^3 i + M_2)^2 \geq M_1$
- The optical mass function is a lower limit on the mass of the compact object.

• The rotational velocity of the donor is (for synchronous rotation):

• To get the actual mass of the compact of the compact of the second start of the seco

First the contribution of the second s

where R_L is the radius of the Röche lobe.

 $V_{
m rot}=rac{2\pi R_{I}}{2\pi R_{I}}$

- Hence: $\frac{V_{\text{rot}}\sin i}{V_{2}\sin i} = \frac{V_{\text{rot}}\sin i}{K_{2}} = \frac{R_{L}}{a} \left(\frac{M_{1}+M_{2}}{M_{1}}\right) = \frac{R_{L}}{a}(1+q)$
- Thus, the observed rotational velocity of the donor gives a measurement of the mass ratio of the binary.





 Ellipsoidal modulations seen in the light curve can be modeled to get the inclination.









Ellipsoidal modulat

seen in the light cu





ecan calculate the distance:

Find the donor star radius R_2 (use *a* and R_L).

• Take K₂, i, and q, solve for M₁, M₂, and a.

- Using Ter find L₂
- Using model atmospheres, compute bolometric corrections.
- Using the apparent magnitude, find the distance modulus (apply reddening corrections, use IR bands if possible).

The donor star is a single-lined spectroscopic binary







• Use d, the apparent magnitude, and extinction to find L₂

What if we knew the distance independently?





Use the R₂ constraint as another prior and include it in the likelihood function: χ²_{tot} = χ²_{photo} + χ²_{RV} + χ²_{R2}
This was done for M33 X-7 (d=840±20 kpc, Orosz et al. 2007, LMC X-1 (d=48±1 kpc, Orosz et al. 2009), and Cyg X-1 (d=1.86±0.12 kpc, Reid et al. 2011).

• Use d, the apparent magnitude, and extinction to find L₂

What if we knew the distance independently?





(t) There is a ≈2σ detection of the orbital motion in the VLBI observations (a = 0.18±0.09 AU, Reid et al. 2011).





Gandhi et al. (2018) compared Gaia DR2 distances with previous distance measurements for a sample of 24 BHs. The agreement is generally OK...





Gandhi et al. (2018) compared Gaia DR2 distances with previous distance measurements for a sample of 24 BHs. • The agreement is generally OK, except for BW Cir.





Gandhi et al. (2018) compared Gaia DR2 distances with previous distance measurements for a sample of 24 BHs. The DR2 uncertainties are a factor of a few to several times more than previous uncertainties.



5 in order to help.

The radio parallax distance to Cyg X-1 is 1.86±0.12 kpc, and the Gaia distance is 2.37±0.22 kpc. Note that the Gaia measurement does not include the "wobble" corrections.
 The final Gaia catalog presumably will have smaller parallax uncertainties, but probably not a factor of ≈5 smaller (???).

As it stands now, the Gaia distance measurements to the blac

measurements. Need to reduce the uncertainties by a factor of

hole X-ray binaries don't help constrain the mass







V4641 Sgr has textbook ellipsoidal variations, goo model fits. Gaia: 5.77±1.70 kpc Previous: 6.2±0.7 kpc





Gaia: 7.02±2.85 kpc Previous: 7.5±0.5 kpc

ame for 4U 1543-47.





However, A0620-00 has asymmetric quiescent light curves (Cantrell et al. 2010)





asymmetric quiescent light curves (Cantrell et al. 2010)
The asymmetries were modeled as spots on the accretion disk.

However, A0620-00 has





asymmetric quiescent light curves (Cantrell et al. 2010) • The asymmetries were modeled as spots on the accretion disk.

However, A0620-00 has





asymmetric quiescent ligh curves (Cantrell et al. 2010) The asymmetries were modeled as spots on the accretion disk. • Gaia: 1.66±0.71 kpc • **Previous:** 1.06±0.12 kpc

However, A0620-00 has





parallax errors were ≈5 times smaller. We would have a sec astrometric orbit for the black hole. Gaia could potentially provide an optical astrometric orbit (although with larger uncertainties). Direct mass ratio measurement!

For Cyg X-1, imagine if the





potentially find systems like Cy X-1 but with longer periods, an hence much lower X-ray luminosities, via the wobble (Maccarone et al. 2018).

A survey with ngVLA could





Having uniformly measured distances for all of the courses in your sample has never hurt anyone.

the spin of the black hole.

You need the distance (among other things) to measure









almost no "peculiar" velocity, indicating no "kick" at birth (Referential. 2011). The BH probably formed by implosion.

Proper motion measurements (in addition to parallax

gives you 3D space motions, which can help constrain

formation scenarios.





These 5 BH X-ray binaries have peculiar velocities measured (Mirabel 2017).



TRO J1655-40 and XTE J1118+480 have large linear momenta (similar to typical neutron stars). These BHs probably tarmed by infall onto a proto-neutron star.

Proper motion measurements (in addition to parallax)

gives you 3D space motions, which can help constrain

formation scenarios.



binaries have a smaller dispersion in the z-distance from the plane, compared to neutron star systems.

White & van Paradijs (1996) showed BH X-ray



binaries have a smaller dispersion in the z-distance from the plane, compared to neutron star systems. **This suggests** BH systems received fewer "kicks" compared to neutron stars.

White & van Paradijs (1996) showed BH X-ray



from the plane, compared to neutron star systems.
The suggests BH systems received fewer "kicks" compared to neutron stars.
Jonker & Telemans (2004) found no differences in the distribution, suggesting no differences in the kick velocities.

binaries have a smaller dispersion in the z-distance

White & van Paradijs (1996) showed BH X-ray



from the plane, compared to neutron star systems. This suggests BH systems received fewer "kicks" compared to neutron stars.

binaries have a smaller dispersion in the z-distance

• White & van Paradijs (1996) showed BH X-ray

• Jonker & Telemans (2004) found no differences in the distribution, suggesting no differences in the kick velocities. Better distances wouldn't hurt here either.



uncertainties are small enough. Reseatly, the Gaia distances are too uncertain to help in this regard.

Good distance measurements to X-ray binaries can

help constrain the mass measurements if the

• Regular monitoring observations with ngVLA could provide useful distance and proper motion measurements for a number of X-ray binaries.

