Chandra Calibration Status



CUC - Oct. 8, 2024

Monitoring the ACIS Contamination

A1795 Raster Scan on ACIS-I



E0102-72



Big Dither LETG/ACIS-S observations of Mkn 421 - measures the optical depth at the K shell edges of C, O, and F



ACIS-S spectra of A1795



Optical Depth at the C-K edge on the ACIS-S array from the LETG/ACIS-S Big Dither Observations of Mkn421



Difference in Optical Depth at the C-K edge between the bottom and middle of the ACIS-S array from the Big Dither Observations



Comparison between the Optical Depth at the C-K edge between the A1795 and Big Dither observations



A1795 spectrum from a recent observation near the bottom of S3 shown with the best fit model to an early observation of A1795 using the CALDB version of the contamination model



Conclusion: No update to the ACIS contamination model is needed at the present time

Improving the ACIS CTI Correction at Warm Focal Plane Temperatures



Charge Transfer Inefficiency (CTI) increases with temperature which affects the detector gain and energy resolution

The ACIS CTI Correction Procedure

CTI correction ~ (temperature)(energy)(spatial) All chips are calibrated separately

 Temperature-dependence: Calibrated using the Mn line in the ECS data Old Method: Uses a linear function of temperature. New Method: Uses a quadratic function of temperature

2) Energy-dependence: Initially calibrated with ECS data.

Old Method: Uses a single power-law for the energy-dependence at all temperatures (i.e. $\Delta Q \sim PHA^a$). New Method: Uses different power-law indices at different temperatures (i.e., a=f(T)).

3) Spatial-dependence: Based on trap maps generated from ECS data

Old Method: Applies the same trap map at all temperatures. New Method: Applies different trap maps at different temperatures.

Note: New temperature-dependent CTI calibration products for ACIS-I, S2, and S3 were released in Jan. 2024

CTI Correction for I3



+- 0.5%

CTI Correction for S3



Gain Uncertainties vs. FP Temperature



Note: The temperature-dependent CTI correction was calibrated with ECS data taken primarily in the 2010 - 2012 timeframe and tested with ECS data taken up to 2022, at which point the ECS was too faint for a proper calibration.

Gain Issue with Recent ACIS-I Observations of Abell 2029



Best fit model to the early ACIS-S model shown with recent ACIS-I data



ACIS Background Rates



High background rates fill in the radiation-induced traps, reducing the CTI, and increasing the gain. Low background rates have the opposite effect. Time-dependent ACIS gain corrections are calibrated in the tgain CALDB file. CIAO performs a linear extrapolation from the last tgain file to correct the data.

Fe Line Centroid in A1795 Observations on S3



Note: Smaller gain shift on S3 due to the lower CTI on BI chips compared to FI chips

A2029 Fe line centroid using the CALDB tgain file



A2029 Fe line centroid using test version of tgain file



Note: A test version of the CALDB is being generated that incudes the new tgain file and will be tested with Cas A, Perseus, and A1795 calibration data.

RMFs at warmer Focal Plane Temperatures

Procedure

- Co-add ECS data from epochs 40-91 (approximately 13 years of data)
- Divide ECS data into 7 FP temperature intervals between -120 and -107 C
- Bin data into 32 by 32 pixel regions
- Fit widths of AI, Ti, and Mn lines in each spatial region and temperature bin

Cold ECS data (FP Temps -120:-119 C) at the I3 aim-point



Warm ECS data (FP Temps -111:-109 C) at the I3 aim-point



Residuals in the FWHM (FP Temps -111:-109 C)



0 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09

Line	$\max \sigma$	σ/E_{line}
$AIK\alpha$	44 [eV]	3.0%
$TiK\alpha$	97 [eV]	2.1%
$MnK\alpha$	83 [eV]	1.4%

Note: A test version of the CALDB is being generated with the new rmf calibration products and will be tested with Cas A, Perseus, and A1795 calibration data.

Low Energy Response of the HRC LETG observations of HZ43 with the HRC-I and HRC-S have been performed annually over the course of the mission.



Corrected HRC Count Rates Using Latest HRC-S QEU



- An updated HRC-S QEU file that accounts for the latest HV increase will be released in the CALDB within the next couple of weeks
- An updated HRC-I QE is currently under development that is why the HRC-I point at 2024 is so low.

Dispersed LETG spectra of HZ43 on the outer plates of the HRC-S

Raw count rate 0.9 **S1 S**3 0.8 0.7 0.6 Old HV 0.5 100 120 140 160 80 60 λ (Å) 1.0 0.9 Rate Ratio 0.8 0.7 0.6 New HV

120

λ (Å)

100

80

60

160

140

Corrected count rate





Fluxed LETG spectra of HZ43 on the outer plates of the HRC-S with the newly released HRC-S QEU file (CALDB 4.10.7)



HRMA PSF Monitoring

AR Lac has been observed at least once per year on-axis with the HRC-I since launch.

Impact of Time and Temperature on ECF 50% Radii



A slight increase of 0.01" per year over the past four years.

Broadening of the PSF due to low Pulse Height Events

- Steady decline in the mean SAMP with time
- Recent observations show a low SAMP peak



Future Calibration Plans

ACIS

- Release an updated tgain file for data taken in the 2023-2024 timeframe.
- Finish testing the set of temperature-dependent rmfs for ACIS data up to a focal plane temperature of -107 C and release the new calibration products.
- Continue to monitor the accumulation of contamination on the ACIS filters.
- Generate a stet of ACIS blank sky observations by co-adding data accumulated over the past four years.

HRC

- Continue to Monitor the QE and gain of the HRC-I and HRC-S
- Release updated QE files for the HRC-I and HRC-S appropriate for the new HV setting.

Optics

• Continue to monitor the PSF