The Remarkable X-Ray Spectra and Variability of the Ultraluminous Weak-line Quasar SDSS J1521+5202

Shouyi Wang (NJU), W. N. Brandt (PSU), Bin Luo (NJU), Zhibo Yu (PSU), Fan Zou (PSU), Jian Huang (NJU), Qingling Ni (MPE), Fabio Vito (INAF)

Introduction

- Weak line quasars (WLQs) are blue, luminous type1 quasars with remarkably weak and highly blueshifted high-ionization emission lines.
- About half of the WLQs are X-ray weak.
- The above phenomena can be explained by the thick



disk and its outflow (TDO) model.



Chandra 2013 spectrum overlaid with the simple power-law model

Chandra 2023 spectrum overlaid with the absorbed power-law model



SDSS J152156.48+520238.4 (SDSS J1521+5202) is an X-ray weak WLQ at z = 2.24 with $M_i = -30.2$. It was observed by Chandra and XMM-Newton.

	ObsID	Obs Date	Exp (ks)	Net cts	Bkg cts
Chandra	6808	2006-07-16	4.1	2.8	0.3
	15334	2013-10-22	37.2	88.4	3.6
	27364	2023-02-02	29.7	111.0	3.1
XMM	0840440101	2019-07-26	42.1 (pn)	22.8 (pn)	34.2 (pn)
	0840440201	2019-12-16	46.6 (pn)	22.6 (pn)	59.4 (pn)

X-ray Spectral Analyses

SDSS J1521+5202 shows remarkable X-ray weakness and small Γ_{eff} values (-0.1–1.4) in all X-ray observations

- The IR-optical SED of SDSS J1521+5202 is broadly consistent with those of typical luminous quasars.
- > The long-term IR/optical variability amplitudes of SDSS J1521+5202 are mild.
- > However, this quasar showed remarkable X-ray weakness in most epochs and X-ray variability.

Discussion and Summary

 \succ The overall X-ray and multiwavelength properties of SDSS J1521+5202 are qualitatively consistent with expectations for the TDO model.

> The large X-ray variations could be driven by changes in the column density and/or the covering factor of the TDO.

spanning 17 years, indicating consistently strong X-ray absorption.

The 2023 Chandra spectrum can be acceptably described by a **heavily absorbed power-law**, but this model **does not** fit the 2013 spectrum well with a **high rejection probability**.

We also tried various other models, including partial covering absorption, double-absorber, Compton-reflection from obscuring tori (borus), and ionized reflection (relxill). None of the models return acceptable fit to the 2013

 \succ The fact that a Compton-reflection model does not fit the 2013 Chandra spectrum well indicates that the thick disk itself is probably not lying along our LOS. Rather, at least in the case of SDSS J1521+5202, the outflow from the thick **disk** is probably what lies along LOS.







spectrum.