#### Chandra X-ray Observatory

## Timing Analysis

Dr. Michael Nowak Chandra X-ray Science Center

#### CHANDRA TIMES: ACIS, TE MODE

• Frame Time (see Proposer's Guide):

T (msec) = (41 + 0.040\*q)\*m + 2.84\*n + 5.2q = # of rows from readout m = # of active CCDs n = # of rows read

- Reality, the Frame Time is an integer multiple of 0.1 sec + frame transfer: (0.2 – 10) sec + 41.04 msec
- Caveat: Images are transferred (quasi-) serially, so there can be up to a 5\*41.04 msec delay between CCDs
- Event times are the *middle* of a "frame"

#### CHANDRA TIMES: ACIS, TE MODE

- Frames take 41.04 msec to transfer to the readout, so there is a certain amount of "dead time" per frame
- Charge is moved at 40 µsec/row, so "readout streaks" potentially perform fast timing of bright sources
- Times are Terrestrial Time, referenced to:

 $MJD = 50814.0 \quad (0:00 \text{ January 1, 1998})$ MJD = Julian Date - 2,400,000.5

#### ACISTIME KEYWORDS

- MJDREF = 50814.
- TIMEZERO = 0. (i.e., corrections to TIME)
- TSTART = start time in seconds from MJDREF
- TSTOP = stop time in seconds from MJDREF
- TIMEPIXR = 0.5 (times are from middle of frame)
- TIMEDEL = Nominal Frame Time
- EXPTIME = Nominal "Live Time"
- DTCOR = EXPTIME/TIMEDEL
- ONTIME\_n = per chip quantities
- LIVETIME\_n
- EXPOSURE\_n

#### ACIS, TE MODE

- ACIS Clock is stable to 1 part in 10<sup>5</sup>, ~1 sec drift over 100 ksec observation
- Times are corrected on the ground to  $\mu$ sec levels (quantized to 10  $\mu$ sec in event file)
- Time between frames ~ TIMEDEL X (~I  $\pm$  10<sup>-5</sup>)
- Plotting EXPNO vs.TIME usually gives a linear correlation

#### ACIS, CC MODE

- Rows are read out every 2.85 msec
- CCDs are read in parallel
- Time is arrival time, correcting for readout delay from aimpoint, dither, etc.
  - The absolute time could be incorrect, if the position is incorrect. Reprocessing required if position changed.
- •40 µsec rowshift "deadtime" applies

#### HRC-S

- HRC-I wiring problem limits time accuracy to ~4 msec
- HRC-S can achieve 16 µsec accuracy
- Faster timing than ACIS, but more severe telemetry limits (180 cps), with higher backgrounds
- But, minimal deadtime, and no pileup. Can handle up to 5 cps for a point source.
  - X-ray msec pulsar in a crowded field, use HRC-S!



Correct the Time to a Common Location: Barycenter

#### EXAMPLE: OBS ID 1925

• Quiescent Neutron Star: 4U 2129+47

dmcopy \
 ''acisf01925N003\_evt2.fits[events][(x,y)=circle(4115.1,4167.2,4)]" \
 4U2129\_evt2.fits option=all

time[0] = 9.201960925809942e+07

 Barycenter correction using axbary axbary infile=4U2129\_evt2.fits outfile=4U2129\_bary\_evt2.fits \ orbitfile=orbitf091973100N001\_eph1.fits ra=322.8592 dec=47.2902

time[0] = 9.201966493583098e+07

Difference: 55.678 sec

#### TIMING ANALYSIS QUESTIONS:

- Does my source vary?
- On what time scales does it vary?
- Are the variations periodic or aperiodic?
- How do the variations in different energy bands relate to one another?

#### CREATING A LIGHTCURVE

# dmextract \ infile=''4U2I29\_bary\_evt2.fits[EVENTS][bin time=::I.I4096]" \ outfile=4U2I29\_lc.fits opt=ltcI



• Choose an integer value of a "natural time" unit.

- Above time is different than TIMEDEL=1.14104 sec (clock drift)
- Consider avoiding binning at all and apply Bayesian tests.

#### CREATING A LIGHTCURVE

dmextract \
infile=''4U2129\_bary\_evt2.fits[EVENTS][bin time=::1140.96]'' \
outfile=4U2129\_lc\_1000.fits opt=ltc1



 Remember that dither periods are 707.1 s/1000 s in ACIS, and 768.6/1087 s in HRC, so beware variability on those time scales!

#### TOOLS OF THE TRADE:

- Unlike spectroscopy, there aren't (yet) standard packages to answer these questions (to everyone's satisfaction)
  - XRONOS: HEASOFT tool, very little used <u>https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xronos/xronos.html</u>
  - Stingray: Python package under development <u>https://stingraysoftware.github.io/</u>
  - SITAR: S-lang/ISIS package I wrote & use http://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/SITAR/
  - Users write their own software
- We provide some useful variability analysis tools in CIAO

#### KOLMOGOROV-SMIRNOV & KUIPER TESTS



#### SIMPLE VARIABILITY TESTS

- Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Kuiper tests and variants (e.g., Andersen-Darling; statistically preferred by some) answer "Yes/No" Question:
  - "Is this consistent with a constant?"
  - They do not *characterize* variability
- Many sources for Python, R, IDL, ... code for such tests
- You may have to include variation in your extracted region, e.g., dithering past chip edge, using the **dither\_region** tool
- We provide one CIAO tool, **glvary**, that does *characterize* variability.

#### GLVARY

- See thread: <u>http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/variable/</u>
- Bayesian technique based upon Gregory & Loredo 1996, ApJ, 473, 1059

unix%> punlearn ardlib unix%> acis\_set\_ardlib acisf01925\_002N003\_bpix1.fits unix%> punlearn dither\_region unix%> pset dither\_region region=""region(ds9.reg)") unix%> pset dither\_region infile=pcadf092019600N003\_asol1.fits unix%> pset dither\_region maskfile=../secondary/acisf01925\_002N003\_msk1.fits unix%> pset dither\_region outfile=fracarea.fits unix%> dither\_region unix%> dmkeypar 4U2129\_bary\_evt2.fits DTCOR echo+ 0.96403281217135 unix%> dmtcalc "fracarea.fits[cols time,fracarea]" dtf\_fracarea.fits \ expression="dtf=(0.96403281217135\*fracarea)" clob+

#### GLVARY

- See thread: <u>http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/variable/</u>
- Bayesian technique based upon Gregory & Loredo 1996, ApJ, 473, 1059

unix%> punlearn glvary unix%> pset glvary infile="4U2129\_bary\_evt2.fits[sky=region(ds9.reg),ccd\_id=7]" unix%> pset glvary effile=dtf\_fracarea.fits unix%> pset glvary outfile=gl\_prob.fits unix%> pset glvary lcfile=lc\_prob.fits unix%> glvary

unix%> dmlist gl\_prob.fits header | grep -i variab

0008 ODDS	35.8132362773	Real8	Odds for variable signal 10Log
0009 PROB	1.0	Real8	Probability of variable signal
0014 VARINDEX	10	Int4	Variability index

 Plot TIME, COUNT\_RATE, COUNT\_RATE\_ERR columns from lc\_prob.fits file

#### GLVARY

• glvary forces a weighted combination of evenly binned lightcurves, and doesn't vary the phasing of these bins.



#### BAYESIAN BLOCKS

• Better captures eclipse. S-lang, Python, etc., code can be found.



Blocks can be uneven, so it's a good for capturing flares (Sgr A\*).
 Method developed by Scargle et al. 2013, ApJ, 764, 167.



- Eclipses are consistent with & modeled as instantaneous
- Chandra has virtually no background
- Last/First event seen yields eclipse duration & midpoint

 $P(\Delta t_{cross}) = R \exp(-R\Delta t_{cross})$ 

- Can be modified for expectation for rate on either side of the eclipse, deadtime, & background (Nowak, Heinz, & Begelman 2002, ApJ, 573, 788)
- No binning was done!

- Eclipses are consistent with & modeled as instantaneous
- Chandra has virtually no background

0

 Last/First event seen yields eclipse duration & midpoint

$$P(\Delta t_{cross}) = R \exp(-R\Delta t_{cross})$$

- Can be modified for expectation for rate on either side of the eclipse, deadtime, & background (Nowak, Heinz, & Begelman 2002, ApJ, 573, 788)
- No binning was done!

 $4U 2129+47, P_{orb} = 18857.64 \text{ s}$ 



#### YOU CAN FIT YOUR LIGHTCURVE



 Very easy in ISIS or Sherpa to make a counts/bin lightcurve a fittable dataset & create simple models to describe.

• Somewhat more complex in **XSPEC**, but possible

## LONGTIME SCALE: CRAB



## LONGTIME SCALE: CRAB



## INTRA-OBSERVATION MOTION: SOLAR SYSTEM

#### ACIS-S View of Jupiter

Before

Correction

SAOImage ds9											
File E	Edit	View	Frame Bi	n Zoom	Scale Co	lor Regio	n WCS A	Analysis He	lp		
File Object Value WCS Physica Image Frame	al	X X X	evt.out[eve JUPITER 1.21707	ents]	0.000						
file	-	edit	view	frame	bin	zoom	scale	color	region	wcs	help
linea	r	log	power	sqrt	squared	d asir	nh sinh	n histo	gram r	nin max	zscale
	0.	03	0.089	0.21	0.44	0.92	1.9	3.7	7.5	D 15	>

#### After Correction

#### SSO\_FREEZE

#### • See thread: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/ssofreeze/</u>

unix% download\_chandra\_obsid 1463 evt2,asol,eph1 unix% ls \*eph1.fits jupiterf059875200N001\_eph1.fits jupiterf059875200N002\_eph1.fits orbitf059443264N002\_eph1.fits unix% dmkeypar acisf01463N006\_evt2.fits TSTART echo+ 59968797.485273 unix% cat pcad\_asol1.lis pcadf059968984N004\_asol1.fits

unix% punlearn sso\_freeze unix% pset sso\_freeze infile=acisf01463N006\_evt2.fits unix% pset sso\_freeze scephemfile=orbitf059443264N002\_eph1.fits unix% pset sso\_freeze ssoephemfile=jupiterf059875200N002\_eph1.fits unix% pset sso\_freeze asolfile=@pcad\_asol1.lis unix% pset sso\_freeze ocsolfile=1463\_oc\_asol1.fits unix% pset sso\_freeze outfile=frozenjupiter.fits unix% sso\_freeze

#### GRATINGS LIGHTCURVE COMPLICATIONS

- Spatially extended
  - Regions dither across chip edges and "nodes"
  - Not intuitive how to translate from wavelength to spatial extraction window
  - Same wavelength regions on the four arms (MEG/HEG,  $\pm 1$  st orders) are different spatial regions
- For Timed Exposure (TE) mode
  - Slight offsets in the times from CCD to CCD

## AGLC (ACIS GRATING LIGHT CURVE)

- S-lang/ISIS suite of tools by Dave Huenemoerder (MIT) <u>http://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/aglc/aglc.html</u>
- Fundamentally uses EXPNO as an implicit time coordinate
  - Barycenter correction has to be applied to lightcurve after its creation via aglc (apply analytic or empirical mapping from uncorrected/barycentered event times)
- Allows one to create rate or period phase-folded lightcurves with properly calculated exposures from multiple CCDs
- E.g., hardness ratios are tricky with gratings, **aglc** can handle them

#### AGLC EXAMPLE: VELA X-I

 S-lang/ISIS suite of tools by Dave Huenemoerder (MIT) – <u>http://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/aglc/aglc.html</u>



#### AGLC EXAMPLE: VELA X-I

 S-lang/ISIS suite of tools by Dave Huenemoerder (MIT) – <u>http://space.mit.edu/cxc/analysis/aglc/aglc.html</u>

