Introduction to Calibration and CALDB

Vinay Kashyap (CXC/CfA) Diab Jerius (CXC/CfA) Mike Nowak (CXC/MIT)

Calibration

Almost all of what we know comes to us through photons, which are deposited in a detector, causing an electrical signal that is measured and is then converted into physically meaningful quantities — aka *calibration*.

Calibration maps physics to data (and vice versa), forms a basic fingerprint of the instrument, and provides a framework to understand the data.

Outline

What are calibration products and where do they fit in? ARFs, RMFs, PSFs, etc.

CALDB

Calibration Data

Calibration data provide a quantitative characterization of *Chandra*'s detectors, optics, guide systems, and other spacecraft subsystems.

- The performance of the detectors and optics was measured pre-flight with absolutely calibrated detectors at NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center.
- Pre-flight calibrations provide the foundation for our understanding of the detector's performance.
- Calibration continues on-orbit via observations of on-board radioactive sources (ACIS), and fiducial astrophysical objects.
- *Chandra's* performance is compared with other X-Ray telescopes regularly.

Calibration Resources

- Main Cal page: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/</u>
 - ACIS: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Acis/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HRC: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Hrc/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HETG: <u>http://space.mit.edu/CXC/calib/hetg_user.html</u>
 - LETG: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/letg/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HRMA: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Hrma/Index.html</u>
- Calibration Status: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/summary/Calibration_Status_Report.html</u>
- CALDB: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/caldb/</u>
 - Calibration Data: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/caldb/calibration/index.html</u>
- Cal Workshop proceedings: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ccw/tags/</u>
- SPIE papers: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cda/cxo_papers/cxo_papers.html</u>
- Cross-calibration (IACHEC): <u>http://web.mit.edu/iachec/</u>

The fundamental equation of observational astronomy

 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta)$ $\times A(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ $\times P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda)$ $\times R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ $\times \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

Y(**x**',E',t';θ) ~ Normal(λ , σ_{λ}) Y(**x**',E',t';θ) ~ Poisson(λ) How incoming flux is distorted

Observed

quantity

 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \, A(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \, R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) \, \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

The astrophysical model

 $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \text{ [ph s-1 cm-2]}$ $f_{v,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \text{ [ergs s-1 cm-2]}$

What arrives at the aperture of the telescope, from direction \mathbf{x} , with energy E, at time t, and is often modeled with parameters θ .

Watch out for those units!

 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \, \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) \, \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

Effective Area [cm² count/photon]

Describes the efficiency with which incoming photons are detected

Mostly a function of photon energy E, but also depends on where on the detector \mathbf{x}' the photon falls (and from what direction \mathbf{x})

Can be affected by brightness of source via Pileup and UV leak (ACIS), gain non-linearity (HRC)



Chandra effective areas



Chandra effective areas



The HRMA/ACIS predicted effective area vs the energy. The dashed line is for the FI CCD I3, and the solid line is for the BI CCD S3.

 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \, A(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \, R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) \, \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

Point Spread Function

Describes the probability that a photon from direction \mathbf{x} lands in detector pixel \mathbf{x} '

Energy dependent Affected by mirror scattering Distorted by pileup (ACIS) and gain, degapping, and tailgating (HRC)

HUBBLE SPACE TELESCOPE FAINT OBJECT CAMERA COMPARATIVE VIEWS OF A STAR



BEFORE COSTAR



http://www.cv.nrao.edu/~pmurphy/images/astro/FOCpsfBW.png

Chandra Point Spread Function



http://cxc.harvard.edu/proposer/POG/html/chap4.html#tth_flg4.14

Effect of pileup



Counts image (left) vs flux image (right). Pileup [<u>http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/download/doc/pileup_abc.pdf</u>] changes spectral shape, sometimes leads to loss of photons.

Variation of on-axis PSF with energy



 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \, A(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \, R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) \, \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

Spectral Response Matrix

Describes the probability that a photon of energy E is recorded in detector channel E'

Think as probability; rows of matrix sum to 1.

Dependent on detector position due to QE and CTI (ACIS), and gain (HRC)

(in special cases (*Fermi*), also dependent on incoming photon direction)

Mike Nowak

Chandra ACIS Fe⁵⁵ calibration

ACIS CCD Spectral Response Function Components

http://acis.mit.edu/acis/spie96/mb_calpaper/node3.html¹⁹

Chandra ACIS-S RMF

Line Spread Function: Chandra vs XMM

For grating spectra, LSF is determined by PSF.

 $M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) = \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \, d\mathbf{E} \, d\mathbf{x} \, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) \, A(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) \, R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) \, \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda)$

Types of timing corrections:

frame time / integration time resolution limited by readout cadence (ACIS)

dead time

when an event is detected, it takes a finite amount of time for the detector to "recover" (HRC)

Barycentric

to avoid time-of-flight effects on photon arrival times due to spacecraft position

The fundamental equation of observational astronomy

$$\begin{split} M(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{E}', \mathbf{t}'; \theta) &= \int \int \int d\mathbf{t} \ d\mathbf{E} \ d\mathbf{x} \ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}; \theta) & \text{incoming flux} \\ \\ \text{Expected counts} & \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{E}; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \lambda) & \text{Effective area} \\ & \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{t}, \lambda) & \text{Point Spread Function} \\ & \times \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \lambda) & \text{Spectral Response matrix} \\ & \times \Delta(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'; \mathbf{x}', \lambda) & \text{timing corrections} \end{split}$$

observed counts

Y(**x**',E',t';θ) ~ Normal(λ , σ_{λ})

 $Y(\mathbf{x}', E', t'; \theta) \sim Poisson(\lambda)$

CALDB (Calibration DataBase)

The CALDB contains calibration data for Chandra and other missions

- is used by CIAO during processing and analysis
- is versioned, providing a traceable history of calibration data
- may be updated independently of CIAO keep an eye on the chandra_announce mailing list to know when to update it you may need to re-process newly acquired data after there has been a CALDB update (e.g., of ACIS gain or contamination)

When reporting results, indicate the versions of CIAO and the CALDB which were used.

http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/

data	
` chandra	
	acis
	badpix
	bkgrnd
	contam
	cti
	dead_area
	det_gain
	disp_reg
	evtsplt
	fef_pha
	grade
	grdimg
	gti_lim
	Isfparm
	osip
	p2_resp
	qe
	qeu
	subpix
	` t_gain
	default
	aimpts
	axeffa
	geom

-- default -- aimpts -- axeffa -- geom -- greff -- msidmap -- obi_tol -- reef -- sgeom l-- sky -- tdet -- vignet `-- wpsf -- ephin -- geom -- hrc -- amp_sf_cor -- badpix -- bkgrnd -- eftest -- fptest -- gaplookup -- gmap -- gti_lim -- Isfparm -- pibgspec -- NP

gu_mn -- Isfparm -- pibgspec -- qe -- qeu -- rmf -- sattest -- t_gmap -- tapringtest -- tgmask2 -- tgpimask2 -- pcad -- align -- ccd_char -- ccd_resp l-- cti -- dark_curr -- fdc |-- gyro_sfma -- iru_char `-- rwa_bspd -- pimms -- acis -- hrc -- sim -- det_pos -- det_poscorr

Using CALDB

• **check_ciao_caldb** — test your installation

CALDB environment variable = /soft/ciao-4.9/CALDB

CALDB version = 4.7.6

release date = 2017-08-18T17:00:00 UTC

CALDB query completed successfully.

- **calquiz** query the database for the right calibration file
- **download_obsid_caldb** download all the calibration files relevant to processing your dataset
- **ardlib** if you need to change your CALDB files, update ardlib.par (and remember to do punlearn ardlib after you are done to get back to default version)
- To trace the history of any calibration product, see <u>http://</u> <u>cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/caldb/calibration/index.html</u>

http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/

http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/

Limits of Calibration

Analysis results are only as good as the calibration data which they use

> See the <u>Calibration status Report¹</u> for an overview of calibration uncertainties

> > The PSF is not calibrated at the subarcsecond level. The EDSER algorithm for enhancing the PSF should only be used for qualitative analysis at this stage.

¹cxc.harvard.edu/cal/summary/Calibration_Status_Report.html

Calibration Resources

- Main Cal page: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/</u>
 - ACIS: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Acis/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HRC: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Hrc/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HETG: <u>http://space.mit.edu/CXC/calib/hetg_user.html</u>
 - LETG: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/letg/detailed_info.html</u>
 - HRMA: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/Hrma/Index.html</u>
- Calibration Status: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cal/summary/Calibration_Status_Report.html</u>
- CALDB: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/caldb/</u>
 - Calibration Data: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/caldb/calibration/index.html</u>
- Cal Workshop proceedings: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ccw/tags/</u>
- SPIE papers: <u>http://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/cda/cxo_papers/cxo_papers.html</u>
- Cross-calibration (IACHEC): <u>http://web.mit.edu/iachec/</u>