

URL: http://cxc.harvard.edu/sherpa3.4/faq/param_change.html

Last modified: 21 September 2006

Why didn't the value of my thawed parameters change in the fit? How is this different than just freezing that parameter?

<u>Thawing a parameter</u> only means that *Sherpa* will vary it during the fit, but there's no guarantee that the fitting method picked must always lead to a better value than the one with which you started. If you mark many parameters as thawed, it is certainly possible (depending on the fitting algorithm used) that the improvement in the fitting statistic can end up being almost wholly due to a change in parameter A, and variations in parameter B end up having almost no impact. In such cases, *Sherpa* will leave parameter B at its original value.

On the other hand, when <u>parameter A is frozen</u>, then improvements in the fitting statistic can *only* be due to parameter B. Therefore *Sherpa* will eventually settle on some better value. In essence, you end up with a parameter space that is easier to explore and so it is easier to find a better value of parameter B.

If you really need to do a simultaneous fit on all the parameters at once, you could also try different fitting methods (<u>simplex, Powell, Monte Carlo</u>, etc.) and see if you get different results. Those methods are probably going to be slower, but exploring parameter space in a different fashion might also lead you to fits that <u>Levenberg–Marquardt</u> missed.

The Chandra X-Ray Center (CXC) is operated for NASA by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory. 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138 USA. Smithsonian Institution, Copyright © 1998–2006. All rights reserved.

URL: http://cxc.harvard.edu/sherpa3.4/faq/param_change.html
Last modified: 21 September 2006