

THE ISM AS SEEN IN X-RAY OBSERVATIONS



Efraín Gatuzz¹, Javier A. García², Timothy R. Kallman³, S. Rezaei. Kh⁴ and J. Wilms⁵

¹Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching, Germany

²Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

⁴ Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

⁵ Dr. Karl Remeis-Observatory and Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Sternwartstr. 7, D-96049 Bamberg, Germany



ABSTRACT

The interstellar medium (ISM), gas and dust between stars, is an important constituent of galaxies, affecting star formation and their overall evolution. A powerful technique to study such environment is through X-ray observations because, due to their high energy, X-ray photons can trace simultaneously the cold-warm-hot phases of the ISM, including molecular and solid components. Here, we report on the 3D mapping of the neutral X-ray absorption in the ISM using X-ray data from both Chandra and XMM-Newton, in combination with distances obtained with the GAIA observatory.

THE SOURCES SAMPLE

In order to create the galactic sample we use the following catalogues:

- <u>To obtain X-ray spectra:</u> The XMM-Newton source catalog (3XMM-DR8) and the Chandra Source Catalog (CSC 2.0)

- To obtain source distances: the GAIA catalog (GAIA DR2)



- To select only stars: the guide star catalog II (GSC II)

The cross-matching between the three catalogues has been done using the NWAY code (Salvato et al. 2018). NWAY is an statistics based algorithm which extends previous distance and sky density based association methods and, using one or more priors (e.g. colours, magnitudes), weights the probability that sources from two or more catalogues are simultaneously associated on the basis of their observable characteristics.

"p_i" versus "p_any" distribution for the correct counterparts to the Chandra/GAIA (left panel) and XMM-Newton/GAIA (right panel) sources (red points) and for the candidate counterpart to the same sources after randomizing their position (grey). Sources with "p_any<0.1" for Chandra and "p_any<0.2" for XMM-Newton and with ambiguous detection (i.e. match_flag==2) were not included in the cross-matching sample. After the crossmatching between GAIA and the X-ray catalogues we have identified 31244 Chandra unique sources and 52129 XMM-Newton unique sources.

X-RAY FITTING PROCEDURE

We used EPIC-pn and ACIS data for XMM-Newton and Chandra, respectively. Each spectrum has been rebinned to have, at least, 1 count per channel. The fits are done in the 0.5-10 keV energy band. For each source, in the case of multiple observations, the spectra with highest number of



counts is fitted.

We fitted the spectra using multiple models (in XSPEC nomenclature):

- tbabs*powerlaw
- tbabs*bbody
- tbabs*apec

The solar abundance is set to (Wilms et al. 2000). and the initial parameter for Nh is the 21 cm value from (Kalberla et al. 2005). Finally, Cash statistic (Cash 1979) is used in the spectral fits.

3D MAPPING OF THE NEUTRAL X-RAY ABSORPTION IN THE ISM

The gas distribution in the ISM, using the Nh column densities obtained from the fits, can be modelled according to the integral:

 $N_i = \int_0^S n_i(r) dr$

Where *r* is the distance along the i l.o.s between the observer (o) and the source (s) and ni(r) is the density profile. We will use the method explained in Rezaei Kh. et al. (2017) and Gatuzz et al. (2018), to infer Hydrogen densities. The method uses a Bayesian approach to predict the most probable distribution of the density at any arbitrary point, even for line of sights along which there are no initial observations.

Figure shows the distribution of Nh for the powerlaw model (top left panel), the blackbody model (top right panel), the apec model (bottom left panel) and for the best-fit results (i.e. the fits with the best statistic, bottom right panel). Nh is shown in units of 10^{22} cm⁻²



REFERENCES

- Cash W., 2979, ApJ, 228, 939
- Gatuzz et al. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 3715
- Kalberla et al. 2005, A&A, 440, 775
- Rezaei Kh S. et al. 2017, A&A, 598, A125
- Salvato et al. 2018, MNRAS, 473, 4937
- Wilms et al. 2000, ApJ, 542, 914